THINK TANKS

EAST AFRICA AND SOUTH ASIA

PROJECT FUNDS--MOST POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS DEPEND ON PROJECTS AS THE MAIN SOURCE OF REVENUE

COMMISSIONED RESEARCH BY GOVERNMENT AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

RETENTION OF RESEARCHERS IS A MAJOR CHALLENGE

MOST QUALIFIED RESEARCHERS LEAVE ESPECIALLY GETTING TO THE END OF PROJECT CYCLE AND/OR FUNDING CYCLE EXAMPLE WORLD BANK OR ACBF

ΤT

UNIVERSITY BASED INSTITUTIONS RELATIVELY MORE STABLE THAN PRIVATE TTs

TENURE AND SUPPORT FROM UNIVERSITY PROVIDE CONFIDENCE AND STABILITY BUT REWARDS ARE RELATIVELY SMALL

ΤT

East African institutions have relatively small budgets hence small organizations but operate nationally. This is the case for Sri Lanka, Nepal, Bangladesh and Pakistan. Indian institutions have relatively large budgets but given the large size of the country very few and mostly the institutions in New Delhi have access to national policy makers and influence.

The Indian institutions have very influential Board members, former ministers and high level government officials, who are able to call on current policy makers on critical issues.

ΤT

The Indian institutions have a large number of collaborations with international institutions and access to eminent Indian scholars outside India as visiting scholars

The pool of qualified researchers, given that India has a relative large number of Universities, is larger in India than in the East African countries. While most institutions in East Africa indicated that it was very difficult to recruit qualified researchers, very few Indian institutions indicate same

TΤ

South Asian institutions have Endowments/Corpus and/or Reserved Funds. Very few East African institutions have these financial sources

TΤ

ICSSR funding for Social Science Research is not available in East Africa