

2001 Annual Forum

at Misty Hills, Muldersdrift

# The Level And Variation Of Tariff Rates: An Analysis Of Nominal And Effective Tariff Rates In South Africa For The Years 2000 And 2001 

Dirk Ernst van Seventer
TIPS


```
    RATES IN SOUIH AFRICA FOR\mathcal{THE YEARS 2000 ANND 2001'}
```

Dirk Ernst van Seventer, TIPS


#### Abstract

This note presents a first cut at analysing the tariff schedule that is applied to South $\mathcal{A f r i c}$ an imports. $\mathcal{T h e}$ aim is to show various ways in which tariffs on South $\mathcal{A f r i c a n}$ imports can be analysed such that $\mathcal{D T}$ I can develop in-fouse capacity to undertake such analysis on an on-going basis. $\mathcal{A}$ cursory comparison with earlier analysis suggests that tariffs have declined over the period 1997-2001, notably for manufacturing. However, further tariff liberalisation has been slowin last couple of years. Tariff peaks still exist for a number of broad categories of commodities such as processed foods ( $\mathcal{H S} 0-2)$, veficles and components thereof ( $\mathcal{H} S$ $(\mathcal{H S} 40)$ and clothing and textiles ( $\mathcal{H S} 6)$. About $25 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines are faced with non ad-valorem tariffs, although the value of imports involved is not more than $4 \%$ of totalimport in 2000 . An attempt is made to convert non ad-valorem tariffs in order to checkfor tariff peaks. The highest ad-valorem equivalents are recorded for processed food, in various stages, and textiles. Finally, duty collection rates, which can give an indication of the efficiency of duty collection are lowest for mineralfuels, motor veficles and components thereof. Ulsing a couple of static methods on effective tariff rates singles out the textiles, clothing, footwear, leather, motor veficles, and some food processing sectors as directly and indirectly fighly protected. Simple correlation coefficients suggest that duty collection rates and the nominal tariff schedule are reasonable indicators of effective rates of protection at the chosen aggregation level of activities.
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## 1) Introduction

Trade liberalisation in South Africa has brought about a lowering of tariffs and a simplification of the tariff schedule. In this note we use recent detailed tariff schedules that are currently available at $\mathcal{D T} I$ and we apply these schedules to import data at the most detailed level publisfed by Customs and Excise. In doing so, we can undertake various tariff analyses. $\mathcal{A}$ cursory comparison with earlier tariff analysis suggests that tariffs have declined over the period 1997-2000, notably for manufacturing. However, progress fas been slow in last couple of years.

We employ the $\mathcal{H S}$ \& $\mathcal{M F \mathcal { N }}$ tariff schedule for March 2001 and $I$ uly 2000 . Since one of the objectives of adkering to a rigid tariff liberalisation path, such as the one chosen by the South Africangovernment, is to provide certainty and stability to importers and investors, we assume that the guly 2000 schedule is representative for the full 2000 calendar year. Obviously, this assumption is contestable, but probably agood departure point to get an initial tariff analys is off the ground. We are fortunate to employ recently released import data for the year 2000 at the same $\mathcal{H S}$ \& le vel.

We start with an analysis of the tariff schedule itself, followed in section 3 with an application of the tariff schedule to the trade data with the aim to identify tariff peaks and in section 4 with a brief look at tariff differentiation in the context of severalfree trade agreements recently concluded by South Africa. In section 5 we make an attempt to convert non-advalorem tariffs into ad-valorem tariffs and we reassess the tariff peaks. Section 6 presents an analysis at the sectoral level, which offers a link between trade and industrial policy, while section 7 discusses a comparison of actual and potential duty collection rates. Section 8 applies a couple of simple measures of effective rates of protection. Although the results present an interesting snapshot picture of the current tariff schedule, it offers only a limited intertemporal vie $w$ and is essentially a static analysis. What is required is to undertaken this kind of analysis on a recurring basis so that such an intertemporal view can be obtained. We therefore conclude with recommendation as to how $\mathcal{D T}$ I should consider maintaining a data base and system to undertake tariff analysis on a regular 6asis.

## 2) The Tariff Schedule of Iuty 2000

In this section we ignore tariffs on imports from the $\mathcal{E \mathcal { U }}$ and $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A D} \mathcal{C}$ which may or may not be exempt from import duties at the time of writing and we also do not consider rebates for reasons of convenience. Information in this regard would obviously be crucial to any future application along the lines suggested in this section and we briefly turn to the ELl and SADC schedules in the next section. We start with the guly 2000 schedule, followed by a vie $w$ on the March 2001 schedule. The former is important because there are no matching trade data available for the latter.

The $\mathcal{H S} 8 \mathcal{M F \mathcal { N }}$ tariff schedule as of $I$ uly 2000 identifies 7824 commodity lines and 211 unique tariffs consisting of ad-valorem, specific, mixed, compound and other tariffs and combinations thereof. These tariffs are shown in Table 1. In row 1, it can be seen that the highest tariff of $55 \%$ only appears once, while the zero tariff occurs about 3500 times, i.e. for about $45 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines identified. Other frequently used ad-valorem tariffs are $5 \%$ (312 lines, see row 33), $10 \%$ (513 lines, see row 27), $15 \%$ (522 lines, see row 21), $20 \%$ ( 533 (ines, see row 15 ), $25 \%$ (116 lines, see row 11) and $30 \%$ ( 153 lines, see row 9). The number of unique ad-valorem tariffs amount to 35. For 1999 Lewis (2001) still counted 44 tariff "bands", as he calls it. So, some rationalisation fas taken place between 1999 and 2000 although a different source was used in the form of the UNCTAPD $\mathcal{T R A I N S}$ data 6 ase.
$S$ pecific tariffs and the combination of specific and ad-valorem tariffs in total apply to almost $2000 \mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines, which constitutes about $25 \%$ of all lines identified. The most frequently used combination of specific and advalorem tariff is " $22 \%$ or $30 \%$ with a maximum of $1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ " which occurs about 188 times (see row 175). Another combination tariff that is popular is " $22 \%$ or $30 \%$ with a maximum of $2020 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}^{\prime \prime}$ which occurs 95 times (see row 117). $\mathcal{H a v i n g}$ more than 200 different tariffs suggests that it makes sense to further simplify the tariff schedule.

Table 1: Tariffs identified by Customs \& Excise, Iuly 2000

| row | Tariff 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \text { lines } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% of <br> lines <br> 3 | row | Tariff 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \text { cines } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Cines } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | row | Tariff 1 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { lines } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { lines } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 55.0\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 71 | 40\% or $60 \%, \max 5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{ka}$ | 66 | 0.8\% | 141 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 2240 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{ka}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2 | 50.0\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 72 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $5090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 142 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max. $2160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 3 | $47.0 \%$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ | 73 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $5000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 143 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2080 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 4 | 45.0\% | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 74 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 57 | 0.7\% | 144 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2020 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 95 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 5 | 43.0\% | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 75 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $4225 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 | $0.3 \%$ | 145 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 6 | 40.0\% | 39 | $0.5 \%$ | 76 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 0.1\% | 146 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1980 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 7 | $36.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 77 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $3460 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 147 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1920 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 8 | $35.0 \%$ | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 78 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $3380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13 | 0.2\% | 148 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max. $1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 60 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 9 | $30.0 \%$ | 153 | $2.0 \%$ | 79 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $270 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{pr}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 149 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1790 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 10 | 27.0\% | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 80 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $20500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 150 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1760 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 11 | 25.0\% | 116 | $1.5 \%$ | 81 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max. $190 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 151 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max. $1730 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 12 | 23.0\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 82 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max 190 ceach | 2 | 0.0\% | 152 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1665 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 13 | 22.0\% | 26 | $0.3 \%$ | 83 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $1630 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 153 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1660 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 14 | $21.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 84 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $11520 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 154 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1650 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 15 | 20.0\% | 533 | $6.8 \%$ | 85 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $10700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 155 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1600 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 16 | $19.0 \%$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 86 | $40 \%$ or $120 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 156 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1555 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 17 | $18.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 | $4.36 c / l i$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 157 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max. $1550 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 18 | 17.5\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 88 | $4.15 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.1\% | 158 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1540 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 0.1\% |
| 19 | $17.0 \%$ | 35 | $0.4 \%$ | 89 | $3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 159 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 20 | $16.0 \%$ | 11 | 0.1\% | 90 | $35 \mathrm{c} /$ no | 1 | 0.0\% | 160 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max. $1430 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 21 | $15.0 \%$ | 522 | $6.7 \%$ | 91 | $35 \%$ or 500c/2u | 4 | 0.1\% | 161 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1410 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 51 | 0.7\% |
| 22 | $14.0 \%$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 92 | $325 c / \mathrm{kg}, \max 39 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 162 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1330 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 23 | $13.0 \%$ | 11 | 0.1\% | 93 | $317 \mathrm{c} /$ li of absolute alcohol | 2 | 0.0\% | 163 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1320 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 24 | 12.5\% | 9 | 0.1\% | 94 | $30 \%$ or $7.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 164 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1300 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 25 | $12.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 95 | $30 \%$ or 500c/2u | 6 | 0.1\% | 165 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 70 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 26 | $11.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 96 | $30 \%$ or $4.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 166 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1230 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 27 | $10.0 \%$ | 513 | $6.6 \%$ | 97 | $3.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 167 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 28 | 9.0\% | 40 | $0.5 \%$ | 98 | $3.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 168 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1145 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 29 | 8.5\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 99 | $26.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 169 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1135 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 43 | 0.5\% |
| 30 | 8.0\% | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 100 | $25.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 170 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 31 | 7.0\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 101 | 25\% plus 1.04c/li | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 171 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 32 | $6.6 \%$ | 10 | 0.1\% | 102 | $25 \%$ or $70 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 26 | $0.3 \%$ | 172 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1060 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 0.1\% |
| 33 | 5.0\% | 312 | $4.0 \%$ | 103 | $25 \%$ or $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11 | 0.1\% | 173 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1040 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 62 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 34 | 4.0\% | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 104 | $25 \%$ or $150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 0.1\% | 174 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1030 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 35 | 3.0\% | 4 | 0.1\% | 105 | $23.1 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 175 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 188 | 2.4\% |
| 36 | $0.0 \%$ | 3,485 | $44.5 \%$ | 106 | $220 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 176 | $21.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 37 | $9.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 107 | $22.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 177 | $20 \%$ or $215 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 38 | $8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 0.1\% | 108 | $22 \%$ max $910 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 178 | $2.75 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 39 | $78 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 109 | $22 \%$, max $700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 69 | 0.9\% | 179 | $2.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 40 | $77 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 110 | $22 \%$, max $1700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 180 | $2.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 41 | $6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 58 | $0.7 \%$ | 111 | $22 \%$ or $33 \%$, max $960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 181 | $17 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 42 | $60 \%$ or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 112 | $22 \%$ or $33 \%$, max $2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 182 | $160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 43 | $6.6 c / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 113 | $22 \%$ or $33 \%$, max $1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 183 | $16.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 44 | $5 c / / i$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 114 | $22 \%$ or $33 \%$, max $1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 184 | $154 c / l i$ | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 45 | $5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.1\% | 115 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | $0.6 \%$ | 185 | $150 c / u$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 46 | $57.7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 116 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $900 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 186 | $15.103 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 47 | $56.7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 117 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $890 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 92 | 1.2 \% | 187 | $15 \%$ plus 50 c/u | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 48 | $55.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 118 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $820 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 46 | 0.6\% | 188 | 15\% plus 200c/u | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 49 | $50 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{no}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 119 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 30 | 0.4\% | 189 | $15 \%$ or $860 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $85 \%$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 50 | $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% | 120 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $775 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 47 | 0.6\% | 190 | $136 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 7 | 0.1\% |
| 51 | $50.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 121 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $770 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | $0.2 \%$ | 191 | $12.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 52 | $5.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 122 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 21 | 0.3\% | 192 | 11c/ 10 | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 53 | $4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 123 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3840 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 193 | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 54 | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% | 124 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3425 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 194 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 55 | $40 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 125 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 195 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{Kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 56 | $400 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 126 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3170 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 31 | 0.4\% | 196 | $10 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 57 | $40 \%, \max 3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 32 | $0.4 \%$ | 127 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 3070 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 197 | $100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 58 | $40 \%$ plus $40.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 128 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 2960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ | 198 | $10 \%$ or $55 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $90 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 59 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $9780 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 129 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 0.2\% | 199 | $1.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 15 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 60 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$ max $9700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 130 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 0.2\% | 200 | $1.11 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 61 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $8980 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 21 | $0.3 \%$ | 131 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 2640 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 42 | 0.5\% | 201 | $0.99 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 62 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max. $8975 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 132 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2570 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 55 | 0.7\% | 202 | $0.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 63 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 8160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 133 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2568 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 203 | $0.85 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 64 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$ max $8000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 134 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$ max $2440 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 204 | $0.65 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 65 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max. $7500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 135 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2425 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 205 | 0.55c/li, max $8 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 66 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $7180 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.1\% | 136 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 48 | $0.6 \%$ | 206 | $0.45 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 67 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $6865 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.1\% | 137 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2355 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 207 | $0.44 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 68 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 6105 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 138 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2350 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 208 | 0.1c/li, max $8 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 69 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5810 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% | 139 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2305 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10 | 0.1\% | 209 | $0.183 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 70 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $5740 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 140 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2296 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 210 | $0.091 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 7824 | $100 \%$ |

Source: DTI
$\mathcal{A}$ more recent tariff schedule is available for the year 2001. The schedule is presented in the next table and shows that the total number of unique tariff lines has in fact increased from 210 to 226 while the number of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines has also increased slightly from 7824 to 7831.

Table 2: Tariffs identified by Customs \& Excise, March 2001

| row | Tariff | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { lines } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { lines } \end{aligned}$ |  | Tariff 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \# } \\ \text { lines } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { lines } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | row | Tariff | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \# } \\ \text { lines } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of lines 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $155.0 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 77 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 152 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | $250.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 78 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 61 | $0.8 \%$ | 153 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 91 | 1.2\% |
|  | $345.0 \%$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 79 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | 0.1\% | 154 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | $443.0 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 80 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 155 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 51 | 0.7\% |
|  | $542.5 \%$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 15 | . $2 \%$ | 156 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0 |
|  | $640.0 \%$ | 28 | $0.4 \%$ | 82 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 36 | 0.5\% | 157 | $22 \%$ or $7 \%$ with a maximum of | 1 | \% |
|  | $737.0 \%$ | 12 | 2\% | 83 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | . $1 \%$ | 158 | $220 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
|  | $836.0 \%$ | 1 | . 0 \% | 84 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 16 | $0.2 \%$ | 159 | $25 \%$ or $150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 0.1\% |
|  | 35.0\% | 2 | 0.0\% | 85 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | 0.1\% | 160 | $25 \%$ or $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11 | 0.1\% |
| 10 | ( $32.5 \%$ | 11 | $0.1 \%$ | 86 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 161 | $25 \%$ or $70 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 26 | 0.3\% |
|  | $1130.0 \%$ | 123 | $1.6 \%$ | 87 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 59 | 0.8\% | 162 | $25 \%$ plus 1.04c/li | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 12 | $228.0 \%$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 88 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 15 | $0.2 \%$ | 163 | 3.3c/li | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 13 | $327.0 \%$ | 25 | 0.3\% | 89 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 8 | 0.1\% | 164 | $3.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ with a maximum of | 1 | . 0 \% |
| 4 | $425.0 \%$ | 111 | . $4 \%$ | 90 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | . 0.0 | 165 | $30 \%$ or $4.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | . $0 \%$ |
| 15 | $522.5 \%$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 16 | $30 \%$ or 500c/2u | 10 | 0.1\% |
| 16 | $22.0 \%$ | 16 | $0.2 \%$ | 92 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 44 | $0.6 \%$ | 167 | $30 \%$ or $7.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 17 | $721.0 \%$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 93 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 168 | $317 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{l}$ of absolute alcohol | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 18 | $820.0 \%$ | 533 | $6.8 \%$ | 94 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 169 | $325 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ with a maximum of | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 19 | $919.0 \%$ | 28 | 0.4\% | 95 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 170 | $35 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{no}$ | 1 | \% |
| 0 | 0 18.0\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 96 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 171 | $37 \%$ with a maximum of 3 | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 21 | $117.5 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 97 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 15 | 0.2\% | 172 | $37 \%$ with a maximum of 3 | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 22 | $217.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 98 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% | 173 | $37 \%$ or 120 c/each | 5 | 0.1\% |
| 23 | $316.0 \%$ | 11 | 0.1\% | 99 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% | 174 | $37 \%$ with a maximum of 3 | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 24 | $415.0 \%$ | 527 | $6.7 \%$ | 100 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 175 | $37 \%$ with a maximum of 3 | 24 | 0.3\% |
| 25 | $514.0 \%$ | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 13 | $0.2 \%$ | 176 | $3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 26 | $613.0 \%$ | 17 | $0.2 \%$ | 102 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% | 177 | 4.15 c/kg | 7 | .1\% |
| 27 | $712.5 \%$ | 9 | 0.1\% | 103 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% | 178 | 4.36c/li | 1 | . $0 \%$ |
| 28 | $812.0 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 104 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 179 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | . $\%$ |
| 29 | $911.0 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 105 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | $0.1 \%$ | 180 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 30 | 0 10.0\% | 534 | 6.8\% | 106 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% | 181 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% |
|  | $19.8 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 107 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 46 | $0.6 \%$ | 182 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 2 | $29.4 \%$ | 7 | $0.1 \%$ | 108 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 183 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 3 | $38.5 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 109 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 184 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 34 | $48.0 \%$ | 43 | 0.5\% | 110 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 15 | 0.2\% | 185 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 13 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 35 | $57.4 \%$ | 3 | 0.0\% |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 186 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 36 | $67.0 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 112 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 7 | $0.1 \%$ | 187 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 6 | 0.1\% |
| 7 | $76.6 \%$ | 10 | $0.1 \%$ | 113 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 9 | .1\% | 188 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 19 | 0.2\% |
| 8 | $85.0 \%$ | 311 | 4.0\% | 114 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | 0.1\% | 189 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 39 | $93.0 \%$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 115 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 12 | . 2 \% | 190 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 53 | 0.7\% |
| 40 | 0 0.0\% | 3484 | $4.5 \%$ | 116 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 191 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
|  | $10.091 c / / i$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 117 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | 0.1\% | 192 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 42 | $20.183 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 4 | 0.1\% | 118 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 84 | 1.1\% | 193 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 43 | 3 0.1c/fi with a maximum of $8 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 119 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 194 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 65 | 0.8\% |
|  | $40.44 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 120 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | \% | 195 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 45 | $50.45 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 19 | 0.2\% | 196 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 46 | 60.55 /fi with a maximum of $8 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 122 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | . 0 \% | 197 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | . 0 |
|  | $70.65 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 123 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 198 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 7 | 0.1\% |
|  | $80.85 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 124 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 10 | 0.1\% | 199 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 6 | 0.1\% |
| 49 | $9 \mathrm{0.8c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 125 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 200 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
|  | $00.99 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 126 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 201 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% |
|  | $11.1 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 127 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 39 | 0.5\% | 202 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 52 | $21.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ with a maximum of | 1 | . 0 \% | 128 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 203 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 53 | $310 \%$ or 55c/kg less $90 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 129 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% | 204 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 21 | 0.3\% |
| 54 | $410.10 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 130 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 48 | $0.6 \%$ | 205 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | 0.1\% |
| 55 | $510 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 37 | 0.5\% | 206 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 56 | $6110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{Kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 132 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 16 | 0.2\% | 207 | $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum | 3 | . $0 \%$ |
| 57 | $7110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 133 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 6 | 0.1\% | 208 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | $811 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{i}$ | 4 | $0.1 \%$ | 134 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 15 | $0.2 \%$ | 209 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 59 | 9 136c/ii | 7 | 0.1\% | 135 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 7 | 0.1\% | 210 | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 60 | O $15 \%$ or $860 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{Kg}$ less $85 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% | 136 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 9 | $0.1 \%$ | 211 | 40.1c/kg | 4 | 0.1\% |
| 61 | $1154 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 8 | $0.1 \%$ | 137 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 2 | 0.0\% | 212 | $400 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
|  | $216.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ with a maximum of | 1 | 0.0\% | 138 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 7 | \% | 213 | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% |
|  | $3160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 139 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 214 | 4c/kg | 4 | .1\% |
|  | $419.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 0 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 12 | 0.2\% | 215 | $5.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | 0.2\% |
|  | $52.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 216 | $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 66 | $62.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 3 | 0.0\% | 142 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 25 | $0.3 \%$ | 217 | 50c/no | 1 | 0.0\% |
|  | $72.75 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.1\% |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 1 | 0.0\% | 218 | $5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.1\% |
| 68 | $820 \%$ or $215 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 144 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 4 | 0.1\% | 219 | 5c/li | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 69 | $920 \%$ or $700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 145 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 14 | \% | 220 | 6.6c/ kg with a maximum of | 1 | . $\%$ |
|  | $020 \%$ plus $29.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% | 146 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 6 | $0.1 \%$ |  | $6.7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0 |
|  | $120 \%$ with a maximum of 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 147 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 21 | $0.3 \%$ | 222 | $60 \%$ or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 0.0\% |
|  | $220 \%$ with a maximum of | 68 | $0.9 \%$ | 148 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 16 | $0.2 \%$ | 223 | $6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 58 | 0.7\% |
| 73 | $320 \%$ with a maximum of | 3 | 0.0\% | 149 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 47 | $0.6 \%$ | 224 | $77 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 74 | $422 \%$ or $2 \%$ with a maximum of | 1 | 0.0\% | 150 | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 30 | $0.4 \%$ | 225 | $8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 0.1\% |
| 75 | $522 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 172 | $2.2 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum | 46 | $0.6 \%$ | 226 | $9.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 76 | $622 \%$ or $27 \%$ with a maximum |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 7831 | 100\% |

[^1]In order to obtain a quick comparison of the two years we present a consolidation of the 2000 and 2001 schedule using a limited number of tariff bands in the next table.

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \# of } \mathcal{H S} \text { \& lines } \\ \mathcal{I}_{\text {uly } 2000} \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of \# of lines } 1 \\ y_{u f y} 2000 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \# of $\mathcal{H S}$ \& lines <br> March 2000 <br> 3 | \% of \# of lines March 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | tariff $\geq 40 \%$ | 63 | $0.8 \%$ | 52 | 0.7\% |
| 2 | $30 \%$ <tariff $<40 \%$ | 168 | 2.1\% | 149 | 1.9\% |
| 3 | $20 \%$ <tariff $<30 \%$ | 681 | 8.7\% | 694 | 8.9\% |
| , | $15 \% \leq$ tariff $<20 \%$ | 576 | 7.4\% | 578 | 7.4\% |
| 5 | $10 \% \leq$ tariff $<15 \%$ | 539 | $6.9 \%$ | 565 | 7.2\% |
| 6 | $5 \%$ <tariff $<10 \%$ | 366 | 4.7\% | 378 | 4.8\% |
| 7 | $0 \%$ ¢tariff $<5 \%$ | 5 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.1\% |
| 8 | $0 \%$ | 3,485 | 44.5\% | 3484 | 44.5\% |
|  | Other | 1,941 | 24.9\% | 1926 | 24.6\% |
| 10 | Total lines | 7824 | 100.0\% | 7831 | 100.0\% |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I

It can be seen that very little has changed when comparing the 2000 and 2001 schedule. Nevertheless, the number of unique ad-valorem tariffs over $40 \%$ has dropped by 11 (which constitutes a $17.5 \%$ decline) and by about 20 for tariffs between $30 \%$ and $40 \%$. The number of zero rated lines fias remained more or less constant.

## 3) Tariffs and $\mathcal{F T} \mathcal{A} s$

Recently, South Africa has entered into free trade agreements with the $\mathcal{E L}$ and $\mathcal{S A D C}$ and it would be interesting to see if the applied tariffs from these two sources are indeed lower. A consolidated view along the same lines as the previous table is offered in the next table.


Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I

It can be seen that compared to the rest of the world, the number of $\mathcal{H} S$ commodity lines with ad-valorem tariffs that are equal or higher than $40 \%$ is figher on imports that originate in the EUl. Similarly, the number of $\mathcal{H} S$ lines with tariffs betwen 30 and $40 \%$ considerably figher in the $\mathcal{S A D C}$ schedule compared to the rest of the world. The reason is that in the EUl and $\mathcal{S A D C}$ preferential schemes a number of other than ad-valorem tariffs, captured in row 10 of column 1 of Table 4, are converted to ad-valorem tariffs. For example the combined tariff of " $40 \%$ or $54 \%$ with a maximum of $3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ " in the general schedule has been converted to a straight ad-valorem tariff of $40 \%$ in the case the imports originating in the EUl and $35 \%$ when the goods are imported from $\mathcal{S A D}$. This principal of ad-valorem equivalence will be further explored in section 5.

With regard to $\mathcal{S A D C}$ the number of non ad-valorem tariffs has beengreatly reduced and some simplification of the schedule has been achieved, although during $2000 \mathcal{S A D}$ imports only applied about $1.3 \%$ of total imports. Less, but still significant, simplification is brought about with regard to imports from the EUl, which, by the way, constitutes about $40 \%$ of South Africa's total imports. For example, the number of zero rated $\mathcal{H S} 8$ import commodity lines from the ECl is about 4\% (see row 8, columns 1 and 3:(3631/3484)-1=4\%) figher than the $\mathcal{M F \mathcal { N }}$ schedule while it is $44 \%$ higher for imports from $\mathcal{S A D C}$.
4) Imports for the Year 2000
$\mathfrak{A l t h o u g h t ~ t h e ~ p r e v i o u s ~ t w o ~ s e c t i o n s ~ d i s c u s s e d ~ t a r i f f s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ y e a r ~ 2 0 0 1 , ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ a s ~ y e t ~ n o t ~ a c c o m p a n i e d ~ b y ~ t r a d e ~ d a t a ~}$ so that we cannot present trade weighted tariffs and check whether tariff peaks apply to lines with low or high value imports. For the rest of this paper we, therefore, have to revert back to the 2000 tariff schedule as this is the last year for which import data are available. In order to assess the relative importance of the tariff lines shown in Table 1 we present data on import values in the table below.

Table 5: Tariffs identified by Customs \& Excise, I uly 2000 combined with import values for the year 2000

| row | Tariff | Imp ('000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { imp } \end{aligned}$ | row Tariff | $\operatorname{Imp}(000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { imp } \end{gathered}$ | row | Tariff | Imp(000) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { imp } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | $55.0 \%$ | 272 | $0.0 \%$ | $7140 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 431,839 | $0.2 \%$ | 141 | 22\% or 30\%, max, $2240 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 906 | 0.0\% |
| 2 | 50.0\% | 67 | $0.0 \%$ | $7240 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,400 | 0.0\% | 142 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 18,810 | 0.0\% |
| 3 | 47.0\% | 5,860,042 | $3.2 \%$ | $7340 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 5000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 26,978 | 0.0\% | 143 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2080 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 843 | 0.0\% |
| 4 | 45.0\% | 19,777 | $0.0 \%$ | $7440 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 387,454 | $0.2 \%$ | 144 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2020 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 157,549 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 43.0\% | 179 | $0.0 \%$ | $7540 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4225 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 67,089 | $0.0 \%$ | 145 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 2000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 512 | 0.0\% |
| 6 | $40.0 \%$ | 253,142 | $0.1 \%$ | $7640 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 88,486 | 0.0\% | 146 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1980 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,037 | 0.0\% |
| 7 | $36.0 \%$ | - | $0.0 \%$ | $7740 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3460 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 537 | $0.0 \%$ | 147 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1920 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 387 | 0.0\% |
| 8 | $35.0 \%$ | 15,258,745 | 8.3\% | $7840 \%$ or $60 \%, \mathrm{max}, 3380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 48,117 | $0.0 \%$ | 148 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 138,794 | 0.1\% |
| 9 | 30.0\% | 1,902,700 | $1.0 \%$ | $7940 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $270 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{pr}$ | 4,774 | 0.0\% | 149 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1790 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,805 | 0.0\% |
| 10 | 27.0\% | 20,269 | 0.0\% | $8040 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 20500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15,603 | 0.0\% | 150 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1760 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6,702 | 0.0\% |
| 11 | $125.0 \%$ | 1,150,378 | $0.6 \%$ | $8140 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $190 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $15$ | 0.0\% | 151 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1730 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7,035 | 0.0\% |
| 12 | $23.0 \%$ | 11,201 | $0.0 \%$ | $8240 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, 190 c each | 504 | 0.0\% | 152 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1665 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7,823 | 0.0\% |
| 13 | 22.0\% | 41,450 | 0.0\% | $8340 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $1630 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4,142 | $0.0 \%$ | 153 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1660 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 55,765 | 0.0\% |
| 14 | $21.0 \%$ | 16,515 | $0.0 \%$ | $8440 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $11520 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8,400 | $0.0 \%$ | 154 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1650 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 27,947 | 0.0\% |
| 15 | 20.0\% | 8,531,431 | $4.6 \%$ | $8540 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $10700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 993 | $0.0 \%$ | 155 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1600 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,430 | 0.0\% |
| 16 | $19.0 \%$ | 10,310 | $0.0 \%$ | $8640 \%$ or $120 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 291 | 0.0\% | 156 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1555 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 65,424 | 0.0\% |
| 17 | $18.0 \%$ | 3,117 | 0.0\% | $874.36 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 105,405 | 0.1\% | 157 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1550 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 777 | 0.0\% |
| 18 | $17.5 \%$ | 648 | $0.0 \%$ | $884.15 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 41,794 | 0.0\% | 158 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1540 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 35,541 | 0.0\% |
| 19 | $17.0 \%$ | 193,039 | 0.1\% | $893 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,983 | $0.0 \%$ | 159 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 18,523 | 0.0\% |
| 20 | $16.0 \%$ | 130,797 | 0.1\% | $9035 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{no}$ | 0 | 0.0\% | 160 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1430 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 38,843 | 0.0\% |
| 21 | $115.0 \%$ | 5,533,558 | $3.0 \%$ | $9135 \%$ or $500 c / 2 u$ | 516,966 | $0.3 \%$ | 161 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1410 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 63,414 | 0.0\% |
| 22 | $14.0 \%$ | 22,453 | $0.0 \%$ | $92325 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$, max, $39 \%$ | 1,639 | $0.0 \%$ | 162 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1330 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 465 | 0.0\% |
| 23 | $13.0 \%$ | 287,335 | $0.2 \%$ | $93317 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ of a6solute alcotiol | 202 | $0.0 \%$ | 163 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1320 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 41,686 | 0.0\% |
| 24 | 12.5\% | 505,689 | $0.3 \%$ | $9430 \%$ or $7.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 617 | $0.0 \%$ | 164 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1300 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15,181 | 0.0\% |
| 25 | 12.0\% | 9,028 | $0.0 \%$ | $9530 \%$ or $500 \mathrm{c} / 2 u$ | 221,600 | 0.1\% | 165 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 198,401 | 0.1\% |
| 26 | $11.0 \%$ | 9,847 | $0.0 \%$ | $9630 \%$ or $4.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3,514 | $0.0 \%$ | 166 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1230 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 620 | 0.0\% |
| 27 | 10.0\% | 5,768,122 | 3.1\% | $973.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{max}, 25 \%$ | 56 | 0.0\% | 167 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8,880 | 0.0\% |
| 28 | 9.0\% | 1,292,610 | $0.7 \%$ | $983.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 666 | 0.0\% | 168 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1145 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5,698 | 0.0\% |
| 29 | 8.5\% | 131 | $0.0 \%$ | $9926.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 563,124 | $0.3 \%$ | 169 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1135 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20,223 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 30 | 8.0\% | 26,688 | 0.0\% | $10025.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 32 | $0.0 \%$ | 170 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $1100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13,576 | 0.0\% |
| 31 | $17.0 \%$ | 3,752 | $0.0 \%$ | $10125 \%$ plus 1.04c/li | 5,206 | 0.0\% | 171 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 0.0\% |
| 32 | 6.6\% | 540,685 | $0.3 \%$ | $10225 \%$ or $70 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 22,281 | 0.0\% | 172 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1060 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7,126 | 0.0\% |
| 33 | 5.0\% | 7,758,330 | $4.2 \%$ | $10325 \%$ or $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6,225 | 0.0\% | 173 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1040 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 91,057 | 0.0\% |
| 34 | $4.0 \%$ | 34,065 | 0.0\% | $10425 \%$ or $150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 35 | 0.0\% | 174 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1030 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 55 | 0.0\% |
| 35 | 5 3.0\% | 10,405 | 0.0\% | $10523.1 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,216 | 0.0\% | 175 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \mathrm{max}, 1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 384,911 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 36 | 6.0\% | 121,357,37 | $65.9 \%$ | $106220 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 61,702 | $0.0 \%$ | 176 | $21.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 94 | 0.0\% |
| 37 | $79.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 545 | 0.0\% | $10722.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0 | 0.0\% | 177 | $20 \%$ or $215 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 805 | 0.0\% |
| 38 | $88 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 17,659 | 0.0\% | $10822 \%$, max, $910 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 905 | $0.0 \%$ | 178 | $2.75 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 0.0\% |
| 39 | 78c/kg | 350 | 0.0\% | $10922 \%, \max , 700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 148,740 | 0.1\% | 179 | $2.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 14,263 | 0.0\% |
| 40 | ) $77 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,729 | $0.0 \%$ | $11022 \%$, max, $1700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,584 | $0.0 \%$ | 180 | $2.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 32 | 0.0\% |
| 41 | $16 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 227,822 | 0.1\% | $11122 \%$ or $33 \%, \max , 960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,435 | $0.0 \%$ | 181 | $17 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 437 | 0.0\% |
| 42 | 60\% or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 31,072 | $0.0 \%$ | $11222 \%$ or $33 \%$, max, $2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 81 | 0.0\% | 182 | $160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 215,920 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 43 | $36.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{max}, 25 \%$ | 113 | 0.0\% | $11322 \%$ or $33 \%$, max, $1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 183 | $16.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$, max, $25 \%$ | 121 | 0.0\% |
| 44 | 5c/li | 4,233 | 0.0\% | $11422 \%$ or $33 \%$, max, $1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 104 | 0.0\% | 184 | 154c/li | 397,394 | 0.2\% |
| 45 | $5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 98,483 | 0.1\% | $11522 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 22,495 | $0.0 \%$ | 185 | $150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 46 | 5 $57.7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 275 | $0.0 \%$ | $11622 \%$ or $30 \%, \max , 900 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 112 | 0.0\% | 186 | $15.103 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 213,883 | 0.1\% |
| 47 | $756.7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | $0.0 \%$ | $11722 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $890 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 80,476 | 0.0\% | 187 | $15 \%$ plus $50 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 48 | 85.5c/kg | 9,075 | $0.0 \%$ | $11822 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $820 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13,867 | 0.0\% | 188 | $15 \%$ plus $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 49 | $50 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{no}$ | 8 | $0.0 \%$ | $11922 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11,478 | 0.0\% | 189 | $15 \%$ or 860c/kgless $85 \%$ | 293,122 | 0.2\% |
| 50 | ) $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 119,401 | 0.1\% | $12022 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $775 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 9,923 | 0.0\% | 190 | $136 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 89,864 | 0.0\% |
| 51 | $150.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 45 | 0.0\% | $12122 \%$ or $30 \%, \max , 770 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $51,490$ | 0.0\% | 191 | $12.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $2,239$ | 0.0\% |
| 52 | 5.5c/kg | 18,751 | 0.0\% | $12222 \%$ or $30 \%, \max , 690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 9,150 | 0.0\% | 192 | 11c/li | 42,894 | 0.0\% |
| 53 | $3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 36,752 | 0.0\% | $12322 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $3840 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 670 | 0.0\% | 193 | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 12,383 | 0.0\% |
| 54 | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 174,569 | 0.1\% | $12422 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $3425 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7,660 | $0.0 \%$ | 194 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 17,289 | 0.0\% |
| 55 | 5 $40 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 261,278 | 0.1\% | $12522 \%$ or $30 \%, \max , 3200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7,607 | 0.0\% | 195 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{Less} 80 \%$ | 829 | 0.0\% |
| 56 | . $400 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 115,814 | 0.1\% | $12622 \%$ or $30 \%, \max , 3170 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,698 | 0.0\% | 196 | $10 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 545 | 0.0\% |
| 57 | 40\%, max, $3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 119,705 | 0.1\% | $12722 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $3070 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6,006 | 0.0\% | 197 | $100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 58 | 40\% plus $40.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 312 | 0.0\% | $12822 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5,690 | 0.0\% | 198 | $10 \%$ or $55 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $90 \%$ | 14 | 0.0\% |
| 59 | , $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 9780 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,460 | 0.0\% | $12922 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 18,718 | 0.0\% | 199 | $1.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{max}, 15 \%$ | 4,963 | 0.0\% |
| 60 | 40\% or $60 \%, \max , 9700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 27,475 | 0.0\% | $13022 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2,624 | $0.0 \%$ | 200 | $1.1 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,312 | 0.0\% |
| 61 | $140 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $8980 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14,007 | 0.0\% | $13122 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2640 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11,441 | 0.0\% | 201 | 0.99 $/ \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,277 | 0.0\% |
| 62 | 2 $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $8975 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 182 | 0.0\% | $13222 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2570 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 17,704 | $0.0 \%$ | 202 | $0.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 92 | 0.0\% |
| 63 | 3 $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 8160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 358 | 0.0\% | $13322 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2568 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11,469 | 0.0\% | 203 | $0.85 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 0.0\% |
| 64 | 4 $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $8000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 79,816 | 0.0\% | $13422 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2440 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 12,963 | 0.0\% | 204 | $0.65 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 130,941 | 0.1\% |
| 65 | 5 $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 7500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3,518 | 0.0\% | $13522 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2425 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 160 | 0.0\% | 205 | 0.55c/li, max, $8 \%$ | 6,250 | 0.0\% |
| 66 | 6 $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $7180 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 492 | 0.0\% | $13622 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 22,403 | $0.0 \%$ | 206 | $0.45 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 735 | 0.0\% |
| 67 | 40\% or $60 \%$, max, $6865 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40,418 | 0.0\% | $13722 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2355 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 579 | 0.0\% | 207 | $0.44 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,694 | 0.0\% |
| 68 | 8 $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max , 6105 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 200 | 0.0\% | $13822 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2350 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 12,136 | 0.0\% | 208 | 0.1c/ii, max, $8 \%$ | 72,295 | 0.0\% |
| 69 | , $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5810 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,480 | 0.0\% | $13922 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2305 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 9,143 | $0.0 \%$ | 209 | $0.183 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 50,573 | 0.0\% |
| 70 | 40\% or $60 \%, \max , 5740 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1,762 | 0.0\% | $14022 \%$ or $30 \%$, max, $2296 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 549 | 0.0\% | 210 | 0.091c/li | 554 | 0.0\% |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I \& Customs \&EXcise

In row 36 it can be seen that about $65 \%$ of the value of imports or R121 billion was imported during 2000 at zero duties, while about $3 \%$ came in at a $47 \%$ tariff (see row 3 ), about $8 \%$ at a $35 \%$ tariff (see row 8 ), $3 \%$ at a $15 \%$ tariff (see row 15) and $3 \%$ at a $10 \%$ tariff (see row 27). The specific or other tariffs on the ir own carry little we ight in terms of value of imports. Whether this is because these specific and other tariffs are profibitively figh can only be ascertained if we attempt to convert them to ad-valorem equivalents, as will be shown in the next section.
$\mathcal{A}$ consolidation of the tariffs analysis and the associated imports for the year 2000 is shown in the next table. In row 1 it can be seen that relatively high ad-valorem tariffs of more than $40 \%$ apply to less than $1 \%$ of the total number of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ tariff lines, with a value of approximately $\mathcal{R} 6$ billion or $3.3 \%$ of total imports over the period of observation. A relatively large number of lines have ad-valorem tariffs between $20 \%$ and $30 \%$ (see row 3). Tariffs betwe en $30 \%$ and $40 \%$ apply to about 170 lines (see row 2), but they constitute about $9 \%$ of the import bill. Specific and other tariffs constitute more than R 7.5 Gillion or about $4 \%$ of the recorded import bill during 2000.

Table 6: Consolidated tariff analysis based on $g$ uly 2000 tariff schedule and 2000 imports (current $\mathcal{R} 000$ values)

|  | \# of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ lines | $\%$ of \# of lines | Imports 2000 | $\%$ imports 2000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | tariff $\geq 40 \%$ | 63 | $0.8 \%$ | $6,133,479$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 2 | $30 \% \leq$ tariff $<40 \%$ | 168 | $2.1 \%$ | $17,161,445$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 3 | $20 \% \leq$ tariff $<30 \%$ | 681 | $8.7 \%$ | $9,771,243$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 4 | $15 \% \leq$ tariff $<20 \%$ | 576 | $7.4 \%$ | $5,871,468$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 5 | $10 \% \leq$ tariff $<15 \%$ | 539 | $6.9 \%$ | $6,602,475$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| 6 | $5 \% \leq$ tariff $<10 \%$ | 366 | $4.7 \%$ | $9,622,196$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| 7 | $0 \% \leq$ tariff $<5 \%$ | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 44,470 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 8 | $0 \%$ | 3,485 | $44.5 \%$ | $121,357,372$ | $65.9 \%$ |
| 9 | Other | 1,941 | $24.9 \%$ | $7,566,687$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 10 | Total lines /imports | 7824 | $100.0 \%$ | $184,130,837$ |  |
| 11 | Actualtotalimports |  |  | $188,076,142$ | $-2.1 \%$ |
| 12 | $\%$ errordue to missing lines |  |  |  |  |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and Customs é Excise
$\mathcal{N}$ Note that due to the difference in recording tariffs and imports, there is an error of about $2.1 \%$ over the period of observation as can be seen in row 12 . The difference suggests that there are imports in $\mathcal{H} S$ sommodity lines which are not covered by the tariff schedule. The next figure shows the number of tariff lines and the corresponding import values for 2000.

Figure 1: Tariff lines (guly 2000) and corresponding import values for the year 2000


Source: $\mathcal{D I}$ I \& Customs \& Excise, note that each broad tariff band includes the lower boundary, i.e., the $>$ sign should read $\geq$

In the next table we show all the $\mathcal{H} S$ commodity lines that have an ad-valorem tariff of more than $40 \%$. It can be seen that the main groups of commodities which are faced with relatively high ad-valorem tariffs are processed foods (HS 0-2), veficles and components thereof ( $\mathcal{H S} 87$ ), tobacco products ( $\mathcal{H S} 24$ ), rubber products ( $\mathcal{H S} 40$ ) and clothing and textiles (HS 6).

Table 7: HS 8 lines with ad-valorem tariffs of more than $40 \%$ (Imports in current Rand values) based on the Iuly 2000 schedule and 2000 imports


Source: tariffs: $\mathcal{D T}$ I, $\mathcal{T}$ rade: Customs \& Excise
5) Conversion of $S$ pecific and Mixed Tariffs to $\mathcal{A d}$-valorem $\mathcal{T}$ ariffs

It was noted in the previous section that although $24 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines in the $g$ uly 2000 schedule are of
a specific or other nature they only represented about $4 \%$ of the value of imports over the year 2000 . Nevertheless,
from a point of identifying tariff peaks it makes sense to try and convert these tariffs to ad-valorem tariffs. In this
section we first discuss the methods adopted to convert other tariffs to ad-valorem tariffs, after which we show some results

Specific to ad-valorem tariff conversion, methodology
We start by calculating the unit value of the relevant $\mathcal{H} S$ s commodity lines for the period of observation by dividing value of imports by volume of imports.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{i}=X_{i} / \mathcal{V}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $x_{i}$ is the per unit value of $\mathcal{H S} \&$ commodity line $i, X_{i}$ the total imports of the same commodity line and $\mathcal{V}_{i}$ the volume imported during the period of observation. $\mathcal{B y}$ taking the ratio of the specific tariff of the relevant $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity line $\left(t_{i, s p e c}\right)$ by its per unit value we then arrive at the ad-valorem equivalent ( $t_{i, a v e}$ ). This can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i, a v e}=t_{i, s p e c} / \chi_{i}=t_{i, s p e c} /\left(X_{i} / \mathcal{V}_{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example if the value of imports is $\mathcal{R 2} 2$ million and the volume is 5 million Kg, the unit value is $\mathcal{R 4}$ per Kg. If the specific tariff is 36 cents per $\mathcal{K g}$, the ad-valorem equivalent is $9 \%(=36 / 400)$. Clearly, the ad-valorem equivalent is dependent on the unit values. If by any chance an importer got a "good deal", say for example he only paid $\mathcal{R} 3$ per Kg, the ad-valorem equivalent of the specific rate of 36 cents per $\mathcal{K g}$ would rise to $12 \%(36 / 300)$. On the other fand, exchange rate devaluation would result in a decline in the ad-valorem equivalent. For example, if the imported commodity is purchased in US \$ terms and the Rand / US \$ exchange rate would devalue 6y $50 \%$, the Rand unit value would become $\mathcal{R} 6$ per $\mathcal{K g}$ and the ad-valorem equivalent would drop to $6 \%(=36 / 600)$. An example of a variation to the specific tariff is " $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ ", where the first part can be approached in the same way as above and the second part is a straightforward discount.

Other tariffs to ad-valorem tariff conversion
The ad-valorem equivalent of a mixed specific / ad-valorem tariff is difficult to establish as it depends on the size of the shipment. There doesn't seem to be a single set of rules that caneasily be applied. What is presented here is therefore rather arbitrary and certainly open for discussion and different options. For example, the ad-valorem equivalent " $22 \%$ or $30 \%$ with a maximum of $1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}^{\prime}$ could take two different tariffs that vary with the size of the shipment as is shown in the next table, i.e., $22 \%$ and $30 \%$. In this case it is perfiaps reasonable to argue that the relevant importers will try and ensure that the size of the shipment is such that the lowest rate applies. As was discussed in section 3 above, this approach also seem to be followed by the $\mathcal{D T}$ I negotiation team when simplifying the general applied schedule during the EUI S $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{F T} \mathcal{A}$ negotiations.

However with a mixed rate such as " $35 \%$ or $500 c / 2 u^{2}$, which could take one of two rates, i.e., the ad-valorem equivalent of the specific rate $500 \mathrm{c} / 2 \mathrm{u}$ and the ad-valorem rate of $35 \%$. If the ad-valorem equivalent of the specific component happens to yield an equivalent rate of only $23 \%$, while the ad-valorem rate is $35 \%$, one solution is to take the unweighted average of these two rates, which, then, results in an ad-valorem equivalent of $29 \%$. All in all, a number of conversions rules can be identified and they are summarised in $\mathcal{T} a b l e s$.

Table $8: \mathcal{R u l e s}$ for the selection on the appropriate ad-valorem equivalent of specific, mixed and combine tariffs

|  | Condition | Rule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | If imports are zero and the tariff is specific or the first component of the mixed tariff is specific | Accept "na", i.e., not available |
| 2 | If imports are zero and the first component of the mixed tariff is ad-valorem | Accept the ad-valorem rate. |
| 3 | If the tariff is specific | Accept ad-valorem equivalent as calculated in equation (2) |
| 4 | If the first component of the mixed rate is ad-valorem and this rate is smaller than the second ad-valorem component or ad-valorem equivalent of the second component | Accept the minimum rate (as with the EU-SA $\mathcal{F T}$ A schedule) |
| 5 | If the first component of the mixed rate is specific and the this rate is smaller than the ad-valorem equivalent of the second component | Accept the minimum rate |
| 6 | Else | Accept the simple average of the ad-valorem and ad-valorem equivalent rates |

The last condition also occurs if the first component of the mixed rate is specific and the second component is an advalorem maximum rate and the second component is lower than the ad-valorem equivalent of the first component. The results of the above conversion application are shown in $\mathcal{T}$ able 9. In column 1 we present the original specific or other tariff, while column 2 shows the number of $\mathcal{H S} \boldsymbol{s}$ commodity lines with this tariff. In the third column, the value of imports under the relevant tariff is reflected. Note that this may or may not be an aggregation of multiple $\mathcal{H} S \mathcal{8}$ commodity lines which can be verified in the second column. The duties collected for each tariff are shown in the next column followed by the collection rate in column 5. The collection rate is defined as the ratio of the actual duties collected and the value of the imports.

In column 6 we present the weighted ave rage of the ad-valorem equivalent of the tariff shown in column 1, while the unweighted average ad-valorem equivalent can be found in the last column. It can be seen that in one case the unweighted ad-valorem equivalent of the specific rate is as high as $73 \%$ (see row 153, although the weighted average is less than $20 \%$ ) while there are also equivalent rates of $60 \%$ (see row 169) and in the late $50 \%$ s (see rows 18,105 and 157).

[^2]Table 9: Ad-valorem equivalents tariffs, I uly 2000 (imports and duties collected: year 2000,'000)

|  | Tariff | \# lines | imp | duties <br> colfect |  | $\stackrel{\varsigma}{\text { weigh }}$ <br> AUE | unweigh <br> AVE | Tariff | \# lines | imp | duties <br> colfect | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { collecti } \\ \text { on rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { weigh } \end{gathered}$ $\mathcal{A V E}$ | unwe ig $h$ A $\mathcal{I E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.0916 /$ i | 1 | 554 | 116 | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 88. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 3840 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{ka}$ | 14 | 670 | 135 | 20.1\% | $22.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| 2 | $0.183 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 3 | 50,573 | 231 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 89. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 21 | 9,150 | 1,430 | 15.6\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 3 | 0.1c/fi, max $8 \%$ | 1 | 72,295 | 42 | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 4. | 90. $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $770 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 51,490 | 5,819 | $11.3 \%$ | 2.0\% | . $\%$ |
| 4 | $0.44 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 1,694 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.4\% | $0.2 \%$ | 91. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 775 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 47 | 9,923 | 1,971 | $19.9 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 5 | $0.45 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 92. $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 30 | 11,478 | 2,413 | 21.0\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 6 | 0.55c/fi, max $8 \%$ | 2 | 6,250 | 4 | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 93. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 820 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 46 | 13,867 | 2,244 | 16.2\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 7 | $0.65 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 130,941 | 2,321 | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 94. $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $890 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 92 | 80,476 | 13,826 | 17.2\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 8 | $0.85 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 95. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 900 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 112 | 33 | 29.4\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 9 | $0.8 c / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 92 | 0 | 0.3\% | . $3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 96. $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | 22,495 | 4,496 | 20.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 10 | $0.99 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 1,277 | 1 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 97. $22 \%$ or $33 \%, \max 1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 104 | 0 | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 11 | 1.1c/kg | 1 | 1,312 | 4 | 0.3\% | $0.7 \%$ | 0.7\% | 98. $22 \%$ or $33 \%, \max 1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | $0$ | $0$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 12 | $1.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 15 \%$ | 1 | 4,963 | 5 | 0.1\% | 7.6\% | $7.6 \%$ | 99. $22 \%$ or $33 \%, \max 2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 81 | 12 | $15.3 \%$ | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 13 | $10 \%$ or $55 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $90 \%$ | 1 | 14 | 1 | $10.0 \%$ | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | $100.22 \%$ or $33 \%, \max 960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 1,435 | 33 | 23.5\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 14 | 100 c/u | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 101. $22 \%$, max $1700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 2,584 | 388 | 15.0\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ |
| 15 | $10 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 545 | 1 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | $0.1 \%$ | $102.22 \%$, max $700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 69 | 148,740 | 16,103 | 10.8 \% | 22.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 16 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | 829 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 6.4\% | 103. $22 \%$, max $910 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 905 | 127 | 14.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% |
| 17 | $110 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 1 | 17,289 | 6,919 | 40.0\% | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $104.22 .2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 18 | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 12,383 | 6,372 | 51.5\% | 72.1\% | 58.9\% | $105.220 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 61,702 | 26,181 | 42.4\% | $76.8 \%$ | 57.4\% |
| 19 | 11c/li | 3 | 42,894 | 974 | $2.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | 2.2\% | 106.23.1c/kg | 1 | 2,216 | 1 | 0.1\% | 8.4\% | 8.4\% |
| 20 | $12.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 2,239 | 0 | 0.0\% | $5.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $107.25 \%$ or $150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 35 | 0 | 0.0\% | 22.6\% | $8 \%$ |
| 21 | 136c/li | 7 | 89,864 | 49 | 0.1\% | 8.4\% | $6.3 \%$ | $108.25 \%$ or $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 11 | 6,225 | 79 | $1.3 \%$ | 21.7\% | 14.3 \% |
| 22 | $15 \%$ or $860 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $85 \%$ | 2 | 293,122 | 2,142 | 0.7\% | 10.5\% | 10.5\% | $109.25 \%$ or $70 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 26 | 22,281 | 158 | $0.7 \%$ | 19.8\% | $9.6 \%$ |
| 23 | $15 \%$ plus $200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 110. $25 \%$ plus 1.04c/fi | 1 | 5,206 | 1,284 | 24.7\% | 25.2\% | $25.2 \%$ |
| 24 | $15 \%$ plus 50 c/u | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 111. $25.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 32 | 2 | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| 25 | $15.103 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 213,883 | 13,865 | $6.5 \%$ | 17.9\% | 14.3\% | 112. $26.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 563,124 | 38,701 | $24.6 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | 34.2 \% |
| 26 | 150c/u | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 113. $3.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ | 1 | 666 | 9 | $1.3 \%$ | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |
| 27 | 154c/li | 8 | 397,39 | 3,848 | 1.0\% | $5.6 \%$ | 7.0\% | 114. $3.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | 56 | 0 | $0.6 \%$ | 12.8\% | 12.8 \% |
| 28 | $16.5 c / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | 121 | 1 | 0.5\% | 12.8\% | 12.8 \% | $115.30 \%$ or $4.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 3,514 | 709 | 20.2\% | 15.2\% | 15.2\% |
| 29 | $160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 215,920 | 50 | 0.0\% | $18.9 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | 116. $30 \%$ or $500 \mathrm{c} / 2 \mathrm{u}$ | 6 | 221,600 | 39,767 | 17.9\% | 23.3\% | 25.3\% |
| 30 | $17 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 437 | 19 | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | 117. $30 \%$ or $7.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 617 | 185 | 30.0\% | 15.4\% | 7.7\% |
| 31 | $2.25 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0.1\% | . $1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 118.317c/li of absolute alcotiol | 2 | 20 | 86 | 42.7\% | 43.1\% | 56.6\% |
| 32 | $2.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{net}$ | 3 | 14,263 | 13 | 0.1\% | .1\% | $0.1 \%$ | 119. $325 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$, max $39 \%$ | 1 | 1,639 | 463 | $28.2 \%$ | 39.0\% | 39.0\% |
| 33 | $2.75 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 120. $35 \%$ or $500 c / 2 u$ | 4 |  | 156,653 | 30.3\% | 29.3\% | 27.2\% |
| 34 | $20 \%$ or $215 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ less $80 \%$ | 1 | 805 | 161 | 20.0\% | 11.2\% | 11.2\% | 121.35c/no | 1 | $0$ | 0 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 35 | $21.2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 94 | 1 | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | $2.9 \%$ | $122.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 1,983 | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% |
| 36 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 188 | 384,911 | 57,899 | 15.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $123.4 .15 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 41,794 | 501 | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
| 37 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1030 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 55 | 14 | 25.5\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | 124.4.36c/ii | 1 | 105,405 | 1,234 | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| 38 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1040 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 62 | 91,057 | 15,970 | 17.5\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $125.40 \%$ or $120 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{u}$ | 3 | 291 | 76 | 26.2\% | 21.0\% | 20.8\% |
| 39 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1060 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 7,126 | 1,635 | 22.9\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $126.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 10700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 993 | 510 | $51.3 \%$ | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 40 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $127.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 11520 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 8,400 | 2,556 | 30.4\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 41 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | 13,576 | 2,740 | 20.2\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $128.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 1630 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 4,142 | 911 | 22.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 42 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1135 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 43 | 20,223 | 3,774 | 18.7\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $129.40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max 190 c each | 2 | 504 | 240 | 47.6\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 43 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1145 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 5,698 | 1,454 | 25.5\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $130.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 190 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 15 | 6 | 40.1\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 44 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1150 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 8,880 | 1,713 | $19.3 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 131. $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 20500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 15,603 | 7,146 | 45.8\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 45 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1230 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 620 | 8 | 20.7\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 132. $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 270 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{pr}$ | 4 | 4,774 | 555 | 11.6\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 46 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 70 | 198,401 | 32,367 | $16.3 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $133.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 3380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 13 | 48,117 | 18,066 | 37.5\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 47 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1300 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | 15,181 | 2,893 | 19.1\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $134.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 3460 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 537 | 223 | $41.4 \%$ | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 48 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max 1320c/kg | 8 | 41,686 | 7,539 | 18.1\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $135.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 | 88,486 | 37,570 | 42.5\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 49 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1330 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 465 | 24 | $5.2 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $136.40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $4225 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 | 67,089 | 19,986 | 29.8\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 50 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1410 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 51 | 63,414 | 11,545 | 18.2 \% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $137.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 57 | 387,45 | 125,700 | 32.4\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 51 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1430 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | 38,843 | 7,353 | $18.9 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $138.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 26,978 | 13,555 | 50.2\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 52 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 18,523 | 4,887 | 26.4\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $139.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5090 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 1,400 | 564 | 40.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 53 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1540 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 35,541 | 6,916 | $19.5 \%$ | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $140.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 67 | 431,839 | 158,337 | $36.7 \%$ | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 54 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1550 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 777 | 128 | $16.5 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 141. $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5740 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 1,762 | 555 | $31.5 \%$ | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 55 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1555 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | 65,424 | 12,575 | 19.2 \% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $142.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 5810 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 1,480 | 381 | 25.8\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 56 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1600 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 1,430 | 322 | 2.5\% | 22.0 | 22 | $143.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 6105 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 200 | 10 | 51.6\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 57 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1650 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 27,947 | 5,783 | 20.7\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $144.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 6865 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 40,418 | 14,475 | 35.8\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 58 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1660 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | 55,765 | 9,463 | 17.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $145.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 7180 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 492 | 222 | 45.1\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 59 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1665 c / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 7,823 | 1,698 | 21.7\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $146.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 7500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 3,518 | 1,006 | 28.6\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 60 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1730 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 7,035 | 1,439 | 20.5\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $147.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 8000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | 79,816 | 23,779 | 29.8\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 61 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1760 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 6,702 | 186 | 2.8\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $148.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 8160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3 | 358 | 157 | 43.9\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 62 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $1790 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 2,805 | 534 | 19.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $149.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 8975 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 182 | 79 | 43.3\% | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 63 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 1830 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 60 | 138,794 | 25,182 | 18.1\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $150.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 8980 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 21 | 14,007 | 5,119 | $36.6 \%$ | 40.0\% | $40.0 \%$ |
| 64 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max 1920c/kg | 1 | 387 | 93 | 24.1\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 151. $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max $9700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 27,475 | 12,734 | 46.4\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 65 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max 1980 $/ \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 1,037 | 135 | 13.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $152.40 \%$ or $60 \%, \max 9780 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 2,460 | 1,141 | $46.4 \%$ | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 66 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 512 | 119 | 23.3\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $153.40 \%$ plus $40.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 312 | 62 | 19.8 \% | 73.0\% | 73.0\% |
| 67 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2020 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 95 | 157,549 | 30,569 | 19.4 \% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $154.40 \%, \max 3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 32 | 119,705 | 29,928 | 25.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 68 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2080 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 843 | 84 | 10.0\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $155.400 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 115,814 | 17,776 | 15.3\% | 50.4\% | $28.2 \%$ |
| 69 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 2160 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 20 | 18,810 | 3,874 | 20.6\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $156.40 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 261,278 | 4,644 | $1.8 \%$ | 20.5\% | 20.5\% |
| 70 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2240 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 906 | 62 | $6.8 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $157.450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 174,569 | 23,538 | 13.5\% | 44.4\% | 57.8\% |
| 71 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2296 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 549 | 107 | $19.5 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $158.4 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 36,752 | 76 | $0.2 \%$ | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| 72 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2305 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10 | 9,143 | 1,588 | 17.4 \% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 159. $5.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | 18,751 | 36 | 0.2\% | $0.2 \%$ | 0.5\% |
| 73 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2350 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 14 | 12,136 | 1,464 | 12.1\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $160.50 .3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 45 | 2 | $3.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | 3.8\% |
| 74 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2355 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | 579 | 124 | 21.4\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 161. $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 8 | 119,401 | 22,697 | 19.0\% | 35.7\% | 24.2\% |
| 75 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 48 | 22,403 | 1,390 | $6.2 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | 22.0\% | $162.50 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{no}$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0.0\% | $31.8 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| 76 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2425 c / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 160 | 19 | 11.8 \% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $163.55 .5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 9,075 | 1,218 | 13.4\% | $13.8 \%$ | 13.8 \% |
| 77 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2440 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 12,963 | 2,523 | 19.5\% | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $164.56 .7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% |
| 78 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2568 c / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 11,469 | 56 | 0.5\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $165.57 .7 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 275 | 18 | 6.7\% | 6.7\% | 6.7\% |
| 79 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2570 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 55 | 17,704 | 1,433 | 8.1\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $166.5 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7 | 98,483 | 125 | 0.1\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.4\% |
| 80 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 2640 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 42 | 11,441 | 2,185 | 19.1\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 167. 5 c/li | 1 | 4,233 | 23 | $0.6 \%$ | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |
| 81 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2690 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 2,624 | 490 | 18.7\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 168. $6.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}, \max 25 \%$ | 1 | 113 | 1 | 0.5\% | 12.8\% | $12.8 \%$ |
| 82 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $2880 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 16 | 18,718 | 2,588 | 13.8 \% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $169.60 \%$ or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 2 | 31,072 | 1,994 | $6.4 \%$ | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| 83 | 22\% or $30 \%$, max $2960 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | 5,690 | 933 | $16.4 \%$ | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $170.6 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 58 | 227,82 | 969 | $0.4 \%$ | 0.5\% | $0.3 \%$ |
| 84 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 3070 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 5 | 6,006 | 1,399 | 23.3\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | 171. $77 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 2,729 | 37 | 1.4\% | 22.1\% | 22.1\% |
| 85 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3170 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 31 | 1,698 | 200 | $11.8 \%$ | 22.0\% | $22.0 \%$ | $172.78 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 350 | 50 | $14.3 \%$ | 25.3\% | 25.3\% |
| 86 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%$, max $3200 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 7,607 | 298 | $3.9 \%$ | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $173.8 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 6 | 17,659 | 230 | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% |
| 87 | $22 \%$ or $30 \%, \max 3425 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4 | 7,660 | 669 | 8.7\% | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | $1774.9 .2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1 | 545 | 2 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |

Source: DTI
$\mathcal{A}$ consolidated view on the ad-valorem equivalents of other than ad-valorem tariffs is shown in the next table. $\mathcal{N}$ (ote that the number of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines with specific, mixed or compound tariffs amounts to almost 2000, as can be seen in row 10. Most of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines for which ad-valorem equivalents have been calculated fall in the $20 \%-30 \%$ category (see row 3), followed by the ad-valorem equivalent tariff band of $40 \%$ or more (see row 1) and the $0 \%$ - $5 \%$ band with about $6 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H} S$ commodity lines (see row 7).

Table 10: Consolidated tariff analysis of ad-valorem equivalents of other-than-ad-valorem-tariffs of the guly 2000 tariff schedule and associated imports for the 2000 (current Rand values)

|  | \# of $\mathcal{H S}$ \& lines | \% of \# of lines | Imports 2000 | \% imports 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 tariff $\geq 40 \%$ | 295 | $15.2 \%$ | 1,706,893,493 | 22.6\% |
| $230 \%$ tariff $<40 \%$ | 10 | 0.5\% | 711,692,907 | 9.4\% |
| 3 20\% stariff $<30 \%$ | 1,104 | $56.9 \%$ | 3,139,638,416 | $41.5 \%$ |
| $415 \%$ stariff $<20 \%$ | 16 | $0.8 \%$ | 228,638,743 | $3.0 \%$ |
| $510 \%$ stariff $<15 \%$ | 13 | $0.7 \%$ | 219,918,439 | $2.9 \%$ |
| $65 \%$ tariff $<10 \%$ | 15 | $0.8 \%$ | 575,691,938 | 7.6\% |
| $70 \% \leq$ tariff $<5 \%$ | 122 | $6.3 \%$ | 984,213,102 | $13.0 \%$ |
| $8 \quad 0 \%$ | 3 | $0.2 \%$ | 326 | 0.0\% |
| 9 zero import lines for which no $\mathcal{A V E}$ is available | 363 | 18.7\% | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 10 Totalimports specific, etc | 1,941 | $100.0 \%$ | 7,566,687,364 | 100.0\% |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and own calculations, see $\mathcal{T}$ able 9

In terms of value of imports it can be seen in the second last entry of the last row that during 2000 about R7. 5 Gillion was imported by South Africa that faces non ad-valorem duties. The distribution of the value of imports across the chosen bands of ad-valorem equivalents mirrors that of the number of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines, albe it in a more compressed way. The ad-valorem equivalent tariff 6and with the fighest value of imports remains the $20 \%$. $30 \%$ range, which accounts for almost $40 \%$ of the value of non-ad-valorem imports during 2000 , followed by the top 6and with about $20 \%$ and the Gottom 6and with about $13 \%$.
$\mathcal{A}$ consolidation of the ad-valorem and ad-valorem equivalent tariffs is shown in the next table. With specific, mixed and compound rates accounting for about $25 \%$ of the total number of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines, the ad-valorem equivalent conversion is expected to have a significant impact on the distribution of $\mathcal{H S} \boldsymbol{8}$ commodity lines across the broad 6ands identified in Tables 6 and 10 . The $20 \%$ - $30 \%$ band now accounts for more than $22 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines, compared to almost $9 \%$ Gefore the integration of the ad-valorem equivalents. Similarly, the top band now represents about $4.5 \%$ compared to $1 \%$ before and the bottom 6and ( $0 \%$ - $5 \%$ ) captures 122 lines (or $1.6 \%$ ) compared to only $5 \mathcal{H S}$ \& lines previously.

Table 11: Consolidated tariff analysis of ad-valorem and ad-valorem equivalents tariff rates of the $\ln$ uly 2000 tariff schedule and associated imports for 2000 (current Rand values)


Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and own calculations, see $\mathcal{T a b l e} 10$ and $\mathcal{T a b l e} 6$

Given that the value of imports for 2000 associated with specific, mixed and compound rates only amounted to about $4 \%$ of the total imports over this period, the final distribution compared to Table 6 is not much different, except for the top Gand, which now accounts for more than $4 \%$ compared to $3.5 \%$ Gefore the application of the ad-valorem equivalent conversion, the $20 \%-30 \%$ Gand with $7.0 \%$ compared $5.4 \%$ and the $0 \%$ to $5 \%$ and with $0.6 \%$ compared to $0 \%$ respectively.

We close this section with a brief look at those $\mathcal{H S}$ \& commodity lines that have the highest ad-valorem equivale nt tariffs. It can be seen that the highest ad-valorem equivalents are recorded for processed food, in various stages, and textiles.
$\mathcal{T}$ able 12: $\mathcal{H S} 8$ lines with ad-valorem equivalent tariffs of more than $40 \%$ (Imports in current Rand values) based on the Iuly 2000 schedule and 2000 imports

|  | HS 8 code | $\mathcal{H S}$ description (truncated at 90 characters | original rate | A $\mathcal{V E}$ | Imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 04029100 | Dairy produce; 'irds' eqgs; natural honey; edible products of animal origin, not else ewhere | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 127.7\% | 1,057 |
|  | 22071000 | Beverages, spirits and vinegar. Undenatured ethylalcoholof an alcoholic strength by volume of | $317 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{li}$ of absolute alcofol | 102.7\% | 1,414 |
|  | 04029900 | Dairy produce; 'irds' eggs; naturalhoney; edible products of animal origin, not else where | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | \% | 2,336,9 19 |
|  | 17019100 | Sugars and sugar confectionery Cane or beet sugar and chemically pure sucrose, in solid for | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 86.3\% | 6,407,847 |
|  | 020714 | Meat and edible meat offalMeat and edible offal, of the poultry of heading no.0 1.05, fresh, | $2200 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 77.6\% | 6,552,750 |
|  | 17011100 | Sugars and sugar confectionery Cane or beet sugar and che mically pure sucrose, in solid form. | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 77.4\% | 2,474,582 |
| 7 | 11010000 | Products of the miling industry; malt; starches; inulin; wheat gluten Wheat or meslin flour. | $40 \%$ plus $40.3 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 73.0\% | 312,330 |
|  | 04041000 | $D_{\text {Dairy }}$ produce; Girds' eggs; natural honey; edible products of animalorigin, not else where | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 62. | 34,688,627 |
| 9 | 63090017 | Other made up textile articles; sets; worn clothing and worn textile articles; rags Worn clothing | $60 \%$ or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 60. | 21,802,777 |
| 10 | 63090013 | Other made up textile articles; sets; worn clothing and worn textile articles; rags Worn clothing | $60 \%$ or $2500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | \% | 9,269,262 |
|  | 0902 | Coffee, tea, mate and spices Tea, whether or not flavoured - other blacktea (fermented) and | $400 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | \% | 51,583 |
| 12 | 04051000 | Dairy produce; Girds' eggs; natural honey; edible products of animal origin, not else where | $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 48.9\% | 53,655,921 |
| 13 | 04059000 | Dairy produce; Girds' eggs; natural honey; edible products of animal origin, not else where | $500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 46.7\% | 2,226,166 |
| 14 | 17019900 | Sugars and sugar confectionery Cane or beet sugar and che emically pure sucrose, in solid form. | $118.9 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 43.9\% | 3,133,051 |
| 15 | 04039000 | Dairy produce; Girds' eggs; naturalhoney; edible products of animal origin, not else where | $450 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 42.6\% | 16,804,488 |
| 16 | 62034200 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or Goys' suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0 | 118,382,492 |
| 17 | 62052000 | Articles of apparel and clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys'shirts. | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 77,298,465 |
| 18 | 62034300 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys'suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 54,171,377 |
| 19 | 61091000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted T.shirts, single ts and other | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $8000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 46,408,424 |
| 20 | 62053000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys' sfirts. | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 42,791,689 |
|  | 61113000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted Babies' garments and clothing | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | $36,701,236$ |
| 22 | 61099000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, knitted or crocketed T.shirts, singlets and other | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $8000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 33,407,384 |
| 23 | 62059000 | $\mathfrak{A r t i c l e s}$ of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys' shirts.. | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 29,808,993 |
| 24 | 62046200 | $\mathfrak{A r t i c l e s ~ o f ~ a p p a r e l a n d ~ c l o t h i n g ~ a c c e s s o r i e s , ~ n o t ~ k n i t t e d ~ o r ~ c r o c h e t e d ~ W o m e n ' s ~ o r ~ g i r l s ' ~ s u i t s , ~}$ | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | 40.0\% | 29,418,237 |
| 25 | 61112000 | Articles of appareland clotfing accessories, knitted or crocheted Babies' garments and clothing | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.0\% | 26,617,426 |
| 26 | 62019300 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocketed Men's or 6oys' overcoats, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%, \mathrm{max}, 4225 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | \% | 26,509,864 |
| 27 | 62069000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Women's or girls' blouses, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 25,999,340 |
| 28 | 62046300 | $\mathfrak{A}$ aricles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Women's or girls'suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 23,010,361 |
| 29 | 61121200 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knited or crocketed Tracksuits, ski suits and | 40\% or $60 \%$, max, $5000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 22,461,178 |
| 30 | 61051000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted Men's or boys' sfirts, knitted | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 22,312,073 |
| 31 | 62064000 | $\mathfrak{A r t i c l e s ~ o f ~ a p p a r e l a n d ~ c l o t h i n g ~ a c c e s s o r i e s , ~ n o t ~ k n i t t e d ~ o r ~ c r o c h e t e d ~ W o m e n ' s ~ o r ~ g i r l s ' ~ b l o u s e s , ~}$ | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 22,280,553 |
| 32 | 6206 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Women's or girls' blouses, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.0\% | 22,098,754 |
| 33 | 61103020 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted Jerseys, pullovers, cardigans, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $6865 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 21,958,101 |
| 34 | 61052000 | $\mathfrak{A r t i c l e s ~ o f ~ a p p a r e l a n d ~ c l o t h i n g ~ a c c e s s o r i e s , ~ K n i t t e d ~ o r ~ c r o c h e t e d ~ M e n ' s ~ o r ~ G o y s ' s f i r t s , ~ k n i t t e d ~}$ | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 20,601,373 |
| 35 | 62034900 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys' suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | 19,418,436 |
| 36 | 61143000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted Other garments, knitted or | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 19,364,670 |
| 37 | 61059000 | Articles of apparel and clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted Men's or Goys' sfirts, knitted | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 18,201,813 |
| 38 | 63026090 | Other made up textile articles; sets; worn clothing and worn textile articles; rags Bed linen, | $40 \%, \mathrm{max}, 3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 16,413,532 |
| 39 | 61082200 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted Women's or girls' slips, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $9700 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 16,181,695 |
| 40 | 62121000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Brassieres, girdles, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $20500 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 15,603,091 |
| 41 | 63022100 | Other made up textile articles; sets; worn clothing and worn textile articles; rags Bed linen, | $40 \%, \max , 3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 15,253,335 |
| 42 | 61034300 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys' suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | $15,087,270$ |
| 43 | 62029300 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Women's or girls' | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4225 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 14,273,245 |
| 44 | 62011990 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocketed Men's or 6oys' overcoats, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3380 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 13,222,881 |
| 45 | 61031900 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocheted Men's or 6oys' suits, | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 13,021,944 |
| 46 | 62093000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Babies' garments and | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $3590 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 12,441,745 |
| 47 | 63023200 | Other made up textile articles; sets; worn clothing and worn textile articles; rags Bed linen, | 40\%, max, $3000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 12,078,463 |
| 48 | 62113390 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, not knitted or crocheted Tracksuits, skisuits and | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 11,753,381 |
| 49 | 62044300 | $\mathfrak{A r t i c l e s ~ o f ~ a p p a r e l a n d ~ c l o t h i n g ~ a c c e s s o r i e s , ~ n o t ~ k n i t t e d ~ o r ~ c r o c h e t e d ~ W o m e n ' s ~ o r ~ g i r l s ' ~ s u i t s , ~}$ | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $5280 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.0\% | 11,328,593 |
|  | 61142000 | Articles of appareland clothing accessories, Knitted or crocketed Other garments, ¢nitted or | $40 \%$ or $60 \%$, max, $4800 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0. | 10,843,561 |

Source: $\mathcal{D I}$ I and own calculations

## 6) Tariffs by Sector

In order to link the trade and tariff analysis to industrial policy issues it is usefulto try and express the tariffs calculated in section 3 above in terms of sectors. What is needed here is a bridge from the $\mathcal{H} S$ nomenclature to the South Africa Standard Industrial Classification (SIC), which is available in unpublished format from $\mathcal{S}$ tats $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{B e}$ low we show the tariff structure for the three main sectors of the South $\mathcal{A} f r i c a n$ economy for $g$ uly 2000 and $\mathcal{M a r c h}$
2001. This can be compared to the $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{T O}$ Trade Policy Review (1998:44), which offers a tariff structure in a similar
format for the year 1997 (presumably for ad-valorem tariffs only).


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { lines } \end{aligned}$ | imports <br> (R.million <br> curr pr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { imports } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | weighted average | unwe igh. <br> ted <br> average | unwe igh . <br> ted <br> average | unwe igh . <br> ted <br> average | standard <br> deviation | standard <br> de viation | standard <br> deviation | coeff of <br> variation | coeff of variation | coeff of variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 1997 | 2000 | 2001 | 1997 | 2000 | 2001 | 1997 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | agriculture | 295 | 1,459 | $0.8 \%$ | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | $4.2 \%$ | 4.0\% | $8.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | 1.59 | 1.76 | 1.81 |
| 2 | mining | 107 | 25,559 | $14.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | 1.4 \% | $3.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | 2.47 | 2.78 | 2.63 |
| 3 | manufacturing | 5,479 | 149,539 | 84.7\% | 8.6\% | $15.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | 9.4\% | 1.15 | 1.42 | 1.40 |
| 4 | gas | 2 | 7 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  | na | na |
| 5 | total | 5,883 | 176,564 | $100.0 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | 15,1\% | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | 17.8\% | 9.4\% | 9.3\% | 1.18 | 1.45 | 1.44 |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I, Customs \& Excise, $\mathcal{W T O}(1998: 44)$ and own calculations, note: non-ad-valorem tariffs are excluded

Our benchmark can be found in columns 5-7, where we show the unweighted average tariff of 9 une 1997, $g$ uly 2000 and March 2001. It can be seen that further reduction of tariffs has been achieved across all sectors identified but most notably in the manufacturing sector, where the unweighted average tariff has dropped from $16 \%$ to $7 \%$. The total unwe ighted average tariff has over the same period decline d from $15 \%$ to $6.5 \%$. Although the standard deviations have also declined across all sectors, the coefficient of variation, which normalises the standard deviation with respect to the unweighted average has increased slightly. This is the result of the continuing decline in the unweighted average tariff, which causes the denominator of the coefficient of variation to become smaller, and this is not matched by an accompanying decline in the standard deviation. In other words, the unweighted average tariff has decline d more than its standard deviation, hence the ratio of the latter over the former has increased.

A more interesting way of analysing the tariff structure is according to the degree of processing, as is presented by the $\mathcal{W T O}$ (1998: 44). However, the $\mathcal{W T O}$ does not reveal the bridge to aggregate the $\mathcal{H} S$ nomenclature up according to the degree of processing and merely refers to "data provided by the South African authorities". This is clearly an area that can be considered for further research.

Comparisons of 1997 and 2000 tariff structures with the $\mathcal{W T O}(1998)$ are possible at more detailed SIC level, although this is not possible for all SIC codes as the $\mathcal{W T O}(1998)$ disaggregation is based on SIC version 3 , while our analysis is based on the more current SIC version 5.

Table 14: Tariff Structure for SICv5, Iuly 2000 and I une 1997, with imports for the year 2000


Table 14 (cont): Tariff Structure for SICv5, guly 2000 and $\mathcal{I}$ un 1997, with imports for the year 2000

|  | SICv5 code SICV5 description |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { guloo } \\ \text { \# lines } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{2 \\ \sigma_{1} \\ \hline \\ \#}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ \text { Iun } \\ \text { Import value } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% imp | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ y_{4}<00 \\ \mathcal{A} v \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 9 \operatorname{cng}^{2} 97 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{g_{\operatorname{Min}}^{7}}{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \operatorname{gul00} \\ \operatorname{Max} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { gul00 } \\ \text { St dev } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { gung } 7 \\ \text { St dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 3429 | Manufacture of other non-metalfic mineral products nec | 19 | $0.3 \%$ | 262.379 | $0.1 \%$ | 7.1\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |  |
| 101 | 35 | Manufacture of Gasic me tals, fabricated me tal products, machinery and equip... | 1,437 | $24.4 \%$ | 37,726,444 | $20.4 \%$ | 4.7\% | $3.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 40.0\% | $7.2 \%$ | 1.3\% |
| 102 | 351 | Manufacture of Gasic iron and steel | 46 | $4.2 \%$ | 2,299,362 | \% | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 3.8 \% | 0.9\% |
| 103 | 352 | Manufacture of Gasic precious and non-ferrous metals | 181 | 3.1\% | 1,984,924 | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | 4.4\% | 1.7\% |
| 104 | 353 | Casting of iron and steel | 3 | $0.1 \%$ | 25,240 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| 10 | 35 | Manufacture of structuralmetalproducts, tanks, reservoirs and steamgener... | 25 | $0.4 \%$ | 129,737 | $0.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | . 0 | 15.0\% | $6.2 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| 106 | 3541 | Manufacture of structural metal products | 14 | $0.2 \%$ | 66,519 | $0.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | 9.0 | $0.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | . 0 \% | 1.0\% |
| 107 | 3542 | Manufacture of tanks, reservoirs and similar containers of metal | 6 | 0.1\% | 56,739 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 108 | 3543 | Manufacture of steamgenerators, except central heating hot water 6oilers | 5 | $0.1 \%$ | 6,479 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 109 | 355 | Manufacture of other fabricated metalproducts; me talworkservice activitie.. | 327 | 5.6\% | 4,132,760 | $2.2 \%$ | 8.0\% | 4.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | 9.0\% | 1.7\% |
| 11 | 3551 | Forging, pressing, stamping and roll-forming of metalpowder me tallurgy | 12 | $0.2 \%$ | 105,759 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 111 | 3553 | Manufacture of cutlery, fand tools and general hardware | 132 | . 2 | 1,454,735 | $0.8 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 112 | 3559 | Manufacture of other fabricated metalproducts nec | 183 | 3.1\% | 2,572,266 | 1.4\% | $6.8 \%$ | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% | $7.9 \%$ | . 1 |
| 113 | 356 | Manufacture of general purpose machinery | 165 | $2.8 \%$ | 6,801,747 | $3.7 \%$ | 4.7\% | $3.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | $7.0 \%$ | 2.0 |
| 114 | 3561 | Manufacture of engines and turbines, except aircraft, ve ficle and motorcycl... | 30 | $0.5 \%$ | 1,932,588 | 1.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.1\% | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | 6.0\% | . $3 \%$ |
| 115 | 3562 | Manufacture of pumps, compressors, taps and valves | 49 | $0.8 \%$ | 2,500,912 | $1.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | 7.1\% |  |
| 116 | 3563 | Manufacture of Gearings, gears, gearing and driving elements | 16 | $0.3 \%$ | 794,146 | $0.5 \%$ | 8.8\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | 9.9\% |  |
| 117 | 3564 | Manufacture of ovens, furnaces and furnace burners | 4 | $0.1 \%$ | 178,695 | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 118 | 3565 | Manufacture of lifting and handling equipment | 48 | $0.8 \%$ | 936,568 | $0.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | $5.4 \%$ |  |
| 119 | 3569 | Manufacture of othergeneralpurpose mackinery | 18 | $0.3 \%$ | 458,839 | $0.2 \%$ | 5.1\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |  |
| 120 | 357 | Manufacture of special purpose mackinery | 359 | 6.1\% | 11,604,590 | $6.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | 6.4 | $0.0 \%$ | 35.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.4\% |
| 121 | 3571 | Manufacture of agricultural and forestry machinery | 31 | $0.5 \%$ | 444,004 | $0.2 \%$ | 1.6 \% | 1.5 | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | 4.5\% | 3.1\% |
| 12 | 3572 | Manufacture of machine tools | 96 | 1.6\% | 2,375,265 | 1.1\% | $1.6 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 4.8 \% | 1.4\% |
| 123 | 3573 | Manufacture of mackinery for metalfurgy | 9 | $0.2 \%$ | 240,181 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 124 | 3574 | Manufacture of mackinery for mining, quarrying and construction | 38 | $0.6 \%$ | 2,311,054 | $1.4 \%$ | 1.7\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 10.0\% | 3.7\% |  |
| 125 | 3575 | Manufacture of mackinery for food, beverage and tobacco processing | 13 | $0.2 \%$ | 400,631 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 126 | 3576 | Manufacture of machinery for textile, apparel and leather production | 45 | $0.8 \%$ | 924,961 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 127 | 3577 | Manufacture of we apons and ammunition | 24 | $0.4 \%$ | 36 | $0.0 \%$ | 15.6 \% |  | $0.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | 9.7\% |  |
| 128 | 3579 | Manufacture of other special purpose machinery | 103 | 1.8 \% | 4,908,458 | $2.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 19.0\% | 3.7\% |  |
| 129 | 358 | Manufacture of housefold appliances nec | 88 | 1.5\% | 2,189,446 | $1.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | 15.1\% | $0.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| 130 | 359 | Manufacture of office, accounting and computing machinery | 43 | $0.7 \%$ | 8,558,638 | $4.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| 131 | 36 | Manufacture of electricalmachinery and apparatus nec | 250 | $4.2 \%$ | 6,107,366 | $3.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 21.0\% | $7.5 \%$ |  |
| 132 | 361 | Manufacture of electric motors, generators and transformers | 42 | $0.7 \%$ | 1,184,804 | $0.7 \%$ | 7.7\% | $6.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | 7.7\% | 1.2 \% |
| 133 | 362 | Manufacture of electricity distribution and control apparatus | 84 | 1.4\% | 2,217,506 | 1.2\% | $6.5 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 5.7\% |  |
| 134 | 363 | Manufacture of insulated wire and cable | 11 | $0.2 \%$ | 495,608 | 0.3\% | 12.7\% |  | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | $4.9 \%$ |  |
| 135 | 364 | Manufacture of accumulators, primary cells and primary Gatteries | 33 | $0.6 \%$ | 407,534 | $0.2 \%$ | 7.4\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | 7.4\% |  |
| 136 | 365 | Manufacture of electric lamps and lighting equipment | 43 | $0.7 \%$ | 548,271 | $0.3 \%$ | 11.1\% |  | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 9.5\% |  |
| 137 | 366 | Manufacture of other electricalequipment nec | 37 | $0.6 \%$ | 1,253,642 | $0.7 \%$ | 2.4 \% | $9.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.0 \% |
| 138 | 37 | Manufacture of radio, television and communic ationequipment and apparatus. | 319 | 5.4\% | 21,162,307 | $12.3 \%$ | 1.2\% | $2.8 \%$ | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.7\% |
| 139 | 371 | Manufacture of electronic valves and tubes and other electronic components | 29 | $0.5 \%$ | 2,089,304 | $1.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |  |
| 140 | 372 | Manufacture of television and radiotransmitters and apparatus for line tel.. | 37 | $0.6 \%$ | 6,625,351 | 4.0\% | $6.2 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 25.0\% | 8.2\% |  |
| 141 | 373 | Manufacture of television and radio receivers, sound or video recording or ... | 38 | $0.6 \%$ | 6,415,494 | $3.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 2.8\% |  |
| 142 | 374 | Manufacture of medical appliances and instruments and appliances for measu.. | 98 | $1.7 \%$ | 4,715,329 | $2.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | $2.7 \%$ |  |
| 143 | 3741 | Manufacture of medical and surgicalequipment and orthopaedic appliances | 60 | 1.0\% | 2,507,717 | $1.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| 144 | 3742 | Manufacture of instruments and appliances for measuring, checking, testing... | 34 | $0.6 \%$ | 2,164,204 | $1.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |  | 0.0\% | $10.0 \%$ | 1.7\% |  |
| 145 | 3743 | Manufacture of industrial process controlequipment | 4 | 0.1\% | 43,408 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 146 | 375 | Manufacture of opticalinstruments and photograpfic equipment | 62 | 1.1\% | 981,609 | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| 147 | 376 | Manufacture of watches and clocks | 55 | 0.9\% | 335,220 | $0.2 \%$ | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| 148 | 38 | Manufacture of transport equipment | 239 | 4.1\% | 31,445,659 | $19.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | 13.8 \% | $1.3 \%$ |
| 149 | 381 | Manufacture of motor veficles | 71 | 1.2\% | 8,062,338 | $4.9 \%$ | 17.7\% | 18.8 \% | 0.0\% | 47.0\% | $17.2 \%$ | 1.0\% |
| 150 | 382 | Manufacture of bodies (coachwork) for motor veficles; manufacture of traile... | 9 | $0.2 \%$ | 124,799 | $0.0 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ |  | $15.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |  |
| 151 | 383 | Manufacture of parts and accessories for motor veficles and the ir engines | 70 | 1.2\% | 17,245,615 | $10.8 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |  | 0.0\% | $35.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |  |
| 152 | 384 | Building and repairing of ships | 21 | $0.4 \%$ | 207,889 | $0.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | $5.4 \%$ | 1.5 |
| 153 | 385 | Manufacture of railway and tramway locomotives and rolfing stock | 25 | $0.4 \%$ | 103,919 | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 154 | 386 | Manufacture of aircraft and spacecraft | 19 | $0.3 \%$ | 5,164,315 | $3.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 155 | 387 | Manufacture of transport equipment nec | 24 | $0.4 \%$ | 536,784 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% |
| 156 | 3871 | Manufacture of motor cycles | 12 | $0.2 \%$ | 339,436 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 157 | 3872 | Manufacture of bicycles and invalid carriages | 12 | $0.2 \%$ | 197,348 | $0.1 \%$ | 1.3 \% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 4.1\% |  |
| 158 | 39 | Manufacture of furniture; manufacturing nec; recycling | 246 | $4.2 \%$ | 6,547,556 | $4.2 \%$ | 7.9 \% |  | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |
| 159 | 391 | Manufacture of furniture | 28 | $0.5 \%$ | 781,778 | $0.5 \%$ | 17.9\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| 160 | 392 | Manufacturing nec | 218 | $3.7 \%$ | 5,765,778 | $3.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | 9.4\% |  |
| 161 | 3921 | Manufacture of jewellery and related articles | 62 | 1.1\% | 3,551,474 | $2.5 \%$ | 5.1\% | $10.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | 8.6\% | 1.0\% |
| 162 | 3922 | Manufacture of musical instruments | 23 | $0.4 \%$ | 57,205 | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 163 | 3923 | Manufacture of sports goods | 27 | $0.5 \%$ | 469,960 | $0.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | $6.5 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| 164 | 3924 | Manufacture of games and toys | 21 | $0.4 \%$ | 859,309 | $0.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | 9.5\% |  |
| 165 | 3929 | Other manufacturing nec | 85 | 1.4\% | 827,830 | $0.4 \%$ | 11.4\% | 8.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | 9.7\% | $1.3 \%$ |
| 166 | 4 | Electricity, gas, steam and water supply | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 6,974 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 167 | 41 | Electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply | 2 | $0.0 \%$ | 6,974 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 168 | 411 | Production, collection and distribution of electricity | 1 | 0.0\% | 6,244 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 169 | 412 | Manufacture of gas; distribution of gaseous fuels through mains |  | 0.0\% | 730 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 170 |  | Total | 5,883 | 100.0\% | 176,564,150 | $100.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | 55.0\% | 9.4\% |  |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I, Customs ef Excise, $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{T O}(1998)$ and own calculations, note: excluding non ad-valore $m$ tariffs

If we rank the sectors according to the average ad-valorem tariff, as is presented in the next table for the fighest 50 average tariffs calculated, it can be seen that the most protected sectors are found in the tobacco, textiles, clothing and footwe ar, food and beverage clusters, followed in $15^{\text {th }}$ position $6 y$ the motor veficle industry.

Table 15: Ranked tariff Structure for SICv5, guly 2000 and $\mathcal{I}$ un 1997, with imports for the ye ar 2000


Source: $\mathcal{D T I}$, Customs $\mathcal{E x c i s e}, \mathcal{W} \mathcal{T} O(1998)$ and own calculations, note: excluding non ad-valorem tariffs

## 7) Colfection Rates

There are a number of reasons why the actual duties collected as a proportion of imports may be less than the scheduled tariffs. Firstly, there may be rebates that apply to certain shipments and not to others. Secondly, goods may be imported from a Free Trade $\mathcal{A r e}$ a such as the EU or $\mathcal{S A D C}$. There may also be other bilateral agreements that apply to certain countries and certain goods. These arrangements will put significant burden on the Customs er Excise administration. Some monitoring of the applied rates that are governed by the EU and $\mathcal{S A D C} \mathcal{F T A}$ sis currently undertaken at $\mathcal{D T}$ I but this needs to be expanded and matched with the relevant trade data. The third reason for a deviation between actual and potential duties collected is the intentional and unintentional administrative error. We first present the duty collection efficiency analysis by broad tariff band followed by broad commodity classification of 22 chapters. Note that there is no information available to discriminate amongst these three elements and in what follows in this section the analysis is limited to ad-valorem tariffs.

Table 16: Consolidated tariff analysis based on $\mathcal{I} u l y 2000$ tariff schedule and 2000 imports, actual duties collected and potential duties (current R million)

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \mathcal{H S} 8 \\ \text { lines } \end{gathered}$ | \% of \# of Cines | Imports | \% imports | Actual <br> duties <br> collected | Actual <br> duties <br> collection <br> rate | Potential duties to be collected | Potential duty colfection rate | Collection <br> efficiency <br> rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1 | tariff $\geq 40 \%$ | 63 | $0.8 \%$ | 6,133 | $3.5 \%$ | 316 | $5.2 \%$ | 2,865 | 46.7\% | 11.0\% |
| 2 | $30 \%$ tariff $<40 \%$ | 168 | 2.1\% | 17,161 | 9.7\% | 514 | $3.0 \%$ | 5,911 | 34.4\% | 8.7\% |
| 3 | $20 \%$ <tariff $<30 \%$ | 681 | 8.7\% | 9,771 | 5.5\% | 1,374 | 14.1\% | 2,015 | 20.6\% | $68.2 \%$ |
| 4 | 15\% <tariff <20\% | 576 | $7.4 \%$ | 5,871 | $3.3 \%$ | 641 | $10.9 \%$ | 886 | 15.1\% | $72.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $10 \%$ <tariff < $15 \%$ | 539 | $6.9 \%$ | 6,602 | $3.7 \%$ | 477 | $7.2 \%$ | 683 | $10.3 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $5 \%$ <tariff $<10 \%$ | 366 | $4.7 \%$ | 9,622 | 5.4\% | 458 | $4.8 \%$ | 542 | $5.6 \%$ | 84.5\% |
| 7 | $0 \%$ <tariff < 5\% | 5 | 0.1\% | 44 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $3.7 \%$ | 2 | $3.8 \%$ | 99.4\% |
| 8 | $0 \%$ | 3,485 | $44.5 \%$ | 121,357 | 68.7\% | 8 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | na |
| 9 | Total | 5,883 |  | 176,564 | $100.0 \%$ | 3,791 | 2.1\% | 12,904 | $7.3 \%$ | 29.4\% |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and Customs $\mathcal{E x}$ cise, note: analys is only applies to ad-valorem tariff

While the first four columns are repeated from Table 6 above, column 5 shows the actual duties collected as published by Customs ef Excise followed by the collection rate, i.e., the actual duties collected divided by the total imports for 2000 shown in column 3, in the next column. Ulsing the tariff schedule of $\mathcal{I}$ uly 2000 , the potential duties collected over the same period are shown in column 7 with the potential duty collection rate in column 8 . Comparing columns 6 and 8 offers a view on the collection efficiency rate, keeping in mind the various reasons for deviations from unity as discussed above. It can be seen that the collection efficiency increases when moving down the tariff schedule, i.e., below $10 \%$ import duty rates, the actual duties collected are about $85 \%$ of what should have been collected. On the other side of the schedule, the collection rate is evidently much lower, with less than $10 \%$ being collected of the potential duties for tariffs over $30 \%$. The overall collection efficiency rate (as defined in our limited way) is about $29 \%$.

To get a broad indication of where in the commodity range the collection efficiency is relatively low, we present the same information for 22 broadly defined commodity groups. What is clear from the $\mathcal{T} a b l e 17$ below is that the overall average is pulled down by the "unclassified" category in shown in row 22 . This includes the imports of original equipment components for the motor veficle industry which faces $35 \%$ in the tariff schedule. Moreover, in row 17 it can be seen that the collection efficiency in the broad category of motor veficles is the second lowest. Both should be seen in the light of the Motor Industry $\mathcal{D e v e l o p m e n t ~ P l a n . ~ D u t i e s ~ c o l l e c t e d ~ o n ~ m i n e r a l ~ p r o d u c t s ~ a r e ~ a l s o ~}$ significantly less than what should be collected although the value of the potential duties involved is very low.

Table 17: Consolidated tariff analysis based on $\mathcal{I} u l y 2000$ tariff schedule and 2000 imports, actual duties collected and potential duties (current $\mathcal{R}$ million) for 22 broad categories of commodities

|  |  |  | \# of $\mathcal{H S} 8$ lines | \% of \# of lines | Imports | \% imports | Actual <br> duties <br> collected | Actual <br> duties <br> collection <br> rate | Simple <br> ave rage <br> tariff | Potential duties to be collected | $\begin{gathered} \text { Potential } \\ \text { duty } \\ \text { collection } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | Colfection <br> efficiency rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ch22 | Ch22 code | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1. | 01 | Live animals animal products | 128 | $2 \%$ | 824 | $0.5 \%$ | 58 | $7.0 \%$ | 11.1\% | 103 | $12.5 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ |
| 2. | 02 | Vegetable products | 295 | $5 \%$ | 2,389 | 1.4\% | 33 | 1.4\% | $7.2 \%$ | 52 | $2.2 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
| 3. | 03 | $\mathcal{A}$ nimal or vegetable fats \&oils | 43 | 1\% | 773 | 0.4\% | 13 | 1.7\% | $4.2 \%$ | 23 | $2.9 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ |
| 4. | 04 | Prepared foodstuffs, Geverages, tobacco | 210 | 4\% | 2,030 | 1.1\% | 203 | $10.0 \%$ | 15.0\% | 238 | $11.7 \%$ | 85.4\% |
| 5. | 05 | Mineral products | 166 | $3 \%$ | 26,521 | $15.0 \%$ | 2 | 0.0\% | $2.0 \%$ | 13 | $0.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| 6. | 06 | Products of chemical or alfied industries | 1,094 | $19 \%$ | 20,373 | $11.5 \%$ | 238 | 1.2\% | $2.3 \%$ | 335 | $1.6 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| 7. | 07 | Plastics and rubber | 424 | $7 \%$ | 7,414 | $4.2 \%$ | 468 | $6.3 \%$ | 8.8\% | 693 | 9.3\% | $67.5 \%$ |
| 8 . | 08 | Raw fides and skins, leather | 75 | 1\% | 1,091 | $0.6 \%$ | 109 | $10.0 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | 137 | $12.5 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| 9. | 09 | Wood, cork, straw | 86 | 1\% | 1,208 | 0.7\% | 31 | 2.6\% | 7.7\% | 37 | 3.1\% | 82.9\% |
| 10. | 10 | Pulp, paper * paper6oard, Gooks | 166 | $3 \%$ | 3,710 | 2.1\% | 199 | $5.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | 245 | $6.6 \%$ | 81.2\% |
| 11. | 11 | Textiles, fabrics, clothing | 443 | $8 \%$ | 2,428 | 1.4 \% | 209 | 8.6\% | $14.6 \%$ | 302 | $12.4 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| 12. | 12 | Footwe ar, he adge ar, umbrellas | 74 | 1\% | 829 | $0.5 \%$ | 150 | $18.0 \%$ | 20.8\% | 226 | 27.3\% | $66.2 \%$ |
| 13. | 13 | Articles of stone asbestos ceramics glass | 203 | $3 \%$ | 2,673 | $1.5 \%$ | 156 | $5.9 \%$ | 7.0\% | 176 | $6.6 \%$ | 88.7\% |
| 14. | 14 | Precious metals | 60 | 1\% | 3,551 | 2.0\% | 25 | $0.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | 33 | $0.9 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ |
| 15. | 15 | Base metals | 742 | $13 \%$ | 7,555 | $4.3 \%$ | 258 | $3.4 \%$ | 5.7\% | 353 | 4.7\% | $72.9 \%$ |
| 16. | 16 | Machinery, mechanical ofelectrical | 1,035 | $18 \%$ | 52,723 | $29.9 \%$ | 785 | $1.5 \%$ | 4.0\% | 1,060 | 2.0\% | 74.1\% |
| 17. | 17 | Veficles, aircraft, sfips | 211 | $4 \%$ | 15,524 | 8.8\% | 617 | 4.0\% | $10.0 \%$ | 3,337 | $21.5 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| 18. | 18 | Optical photograph measuring musical inst | 242 | 4\% | 6,909 | $3.9 \%$ | 15 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 34 | $0.5 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| 19. | 20 | Miscellaneous manufactured articles | 170 | $3 \%$ | 2,812 | $1.6 \%$ | 171 | 6.1\% | 9.7\% | 255 | 9.1\% | $67.1 \%$ |
| 20. | 21 | Works of art collectors pieces \& ¢ antiques | 7 | $0 \%$ | 220 | 0.1\% | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | na |
| 21. | 22 | Other unclassified goods | 9 | $0 \%$ | 15,008 | 8.5\% | 51 | $0.3 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | 5,253 | $35.0 \%$ | 1.0\% |
| 22. |  | Total | 5,883 | $100 \%$ | 176,564 | $100.0 \%$ | 3,791 | 2.1\% | $6.5 \%$ | 12,904 | $7.3 \%$ | 29.4\% |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and Customs ef Excise, note: analys is only applies to ad-valorem tariff

## 8) Effective Rates of Protection

It is well known that the degree of protection derived by an activity from a tariff on its output needs to be qualified by the degree of taxation due to tariffs on its inputs in order to get a sense of the net protection as opposed to the gross protection. Net, or rather, effective protection has been the subject of severalstudies in South Africa (see $\mathcal{H o l d e n}$ and Holden, 1975 ; Kufn \& Jansen, 1997 and Fedderke evaze, 2000). While the traditional ingredient to the calculation of effective rates of protection is the nominal tariff as scheduled by the authorities, Fedderke \& Vaze (2000) use collection rates as a proxy in the face of data constraints. The other ingredient that is necessary for the successfulexamination of effective protection is information on the inputs of each of the activities identified. Input structures for a large number of activities in the South African economy have recently been updated by $\mathcal{S}$ tats $\mathcal{S A}$ (2000) Genchmarked on the year 1998 as part of the Supply - Ulse Tables for that year ${ }^{3}$.
$\mathcal{A l t h o u g h}$ this is not a perfect set of ingredients, the nominal tariffs for 2000 and the 1998 SUT are currently the most recent available and will be used in this section to examine various (but not all) angles on effective rates of protection.

The simplest way to think about effective rates of protection is continue with the net protection concept mentioned above, which suggests that we should be concerned with the impact of nominal tariffs on net production, or value added. In particular, we like to know the difference between a sector's value added in world prices and in domestic (i.e. distorted or observed) prices expressed in terms of the latter. This can be written as:

[^3](1) $\quad \operatorname{ER}_{j}=\frac{V \mathscr{A}_{j}{ }^{*}-V \mathcal{A}_{j}}{\mathcal{V} \mathfrak{A}_{j}}$
in which ERP $_{j}$ is the effective rate of protection in activity $j$, the "*" subscript indicates world price so that $\mathcal{V A}_{j}{ }^{*}$ value added of activity $j$ at world prices and $\mathcal{V A}_{j}$ value added of sector $j$ at domestic prices as observed in the input-output data Gase. Since value added is the difference between output ( $X_{j}$ ) in activity $j$ and intermediate inputs (Int $m_{i j}$ ) that activity $j$ purchases from activity $i$, equation (1) can be rewritten as
(2)
in which $t_{j}$ and $t_{i}$ are the tariffs on activity $j$ and $i$ respectively. Some properties worth mentioning here are that effective protection will be figher if the nominal protection on output $\left(t_{j}\right)$ is raised, 6ut lower if the nominal protection on inputs ( $t_{i}$ ) is raised. With figher intermediate demand ( $I n t m_{i j}$ ), value added will be lower and with a given tariff on output the proportional effect on value added is greater as there is less to protect.

In what follows we simplify a number of issues that have been dealt with extensively in the literature but they are worth mentioning briefly at this stage. Firstly, there is the issue of non-traded inputs such as construction, electricity, trade, transport, financial and community services. Two crude options are available, either non-traded inputs are considered traded inputs with a zero tariff, which has been labelled the Balassa method, or non-traded inputs are considered to be part of value added. The latter option, in which the index i of equation (2) above only applies to traded activities, was proposed by Corden. Consequently, with an expanded view on value added, there is more to protect, so to speak, and as a result the leverage of the output tariff is smaller and the effective rates of protection of the Corden method are most likely to be lower than those calculated by the Balassa method.

The so-called crude Corden measure can be refined by factoring the direct and indirect traded intermediate inputs out of the value added by taking the appropriate components of the Leontief inverse. Moreover, it could be argued that prices of non-traded inputs rise with protection due to higher competition for resources and aggregate expenditure effects (Greenaway \&Milner, 1993: 83) which would give rise to higher effective protection given the same output tariff. The degree to which prices will in fact increase depends on the substitution between non-traded and traded goods. Staying with the possibility of substitution, $\mathcal{H}$ lden and $\mathcal{H}$ olden (1978) have investigated the degree to which intermediate inputs and value added can be exchanged. If there is indeed scope for substitution away from taxed inputs towards primary inputs, $I_{n t m_{i j}}$, in equation (2) above can be expected to decline and given the same tariff schedule, the effective rate of protection will be lower compared to a situation without substitution. $\mathcal{A l t h o u g h}$ a number of other substitutions are possible according to $\mathcal{H}$ lden $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}$ (den (1978, 226), we ignore them for
reasons of convenience. In sum, our application below takes a rather static view on protection afforded by the tariff schedule

Finally, we do not investigate the actually observed resource shifts that may or may not be associated with nominal or effective rates of protection. The degree to which nominal and effective rates of protection induce resources to shift into the higher protected activities have been tested by Holden (1999) and Fedderke \& Vaze (2000) for South Africa. We limit ourselves to the reporting of effective rates of protection according to the Balassa and crude Corden methods based on the tariff schedule and the observed collection rates. In terms of the distinction between traded and non-traded goods the former is assumed to include $\mathcal{A g}$ riculture, $\mathcal{M i n i n g}$ and $\mathcal{M a n u f a c t u r i n g}$, i.e., S IC 1-3.
$\mathcal{N}$ (on-traded goods therefore include utilities, construction and all services. Comparisons between nominal and effective, Balassa and Corden and tariff schedule and collection rates respectively are achieved by means of correlation coefficients.

Table 18: Nominal and effective rates of protection for 2000 based on the tariff structure

| S U- tables description | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balassa's } \\ \text { ERP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corden's } \\ \text { ER } P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | rank | $\mathcal{N}$ ( $P$ P | rank | S U- tables description | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Balassa's } \\ \text { ER } P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Corden's } \\ \operatorname{ER}^{2} P \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | rank | $\mathcal{N}$ ( $P$ | rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Carpets | $364.3 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | 1 | $28.9 \%$ | 5 | 49 Pe trole um | 1.4 \% | $0.9 \%$ | 46 | $0.5 \%$ | 66 |
| 2 Handbags | 321.2 \% | $70.2 \%$ | 3 | 30.0\% | 3 | 50 Basic chemicals | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 50 | 1.0\% | 58 |
| 3 Motor veficles | 252.4 \% | $81.0 \%$ | 2 | $36.2 \%$ | 1 | 51 Pesticides | 0.5\% | $0.3 \%$ | 51 | $1.2 \%$ | 55 |
| 4 Motor veficle parts | $145.0 \%$ | 64.8 \% |  | 32.7\% | 2 | 52 Pumps | 0.5\% | $0.3 \%$ | 52 | $1.6 \%$ | 51 |
| 5 Bakeries | $116.2 \%$ | 54.1\% | 5 | 24.8\% | 7 | 53 General machinery | $0.3 \%$ | 0.2\% | 53 | $1.4 \%$ | 52 |
| 6 Footwear | 99.8\% | $55.8 \%$ | 6 | 28.1\% | 6 | 54 Lifting equipme nt | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 54 | 1.1\% | 56 |
| 7 Wearing apparel | 98.8\% | 50.7\% | 12 | 29.2\% | 4 | $55 \mathcal{F S}$ IM | $0.0 \%$ | na | 55 | 0.0\% | 74 |
| 8 Furniture | 92.2\% | 38.7\% | 11 | 19.3 \% | 10 | 56 Electricalequipment | - $0.3 \%$ | -0.2\% | 57 | 2.1\% | 48 |
| 9 Soap | 82.2\% | $35.3 \%$ | 7 | $18.9 \%$ | 12 | 57 Agriculture | - $0.3 \%$ | -0.2\% | 58 | 1.4 \% | 53 |
| 10 Tyres | 80.0\% | 35.1\% | 8 | $19.0 \%$ | 11 | 58 Realestate | -0.3\% | na | 84 | $0.0 \%$ | 84 |
| 11 Řnitting mills | $79.9 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | 14 | 21.3\% | 9 | 59 Mac fine-tools | - $0.3 \%$ | -0.2\% | 56 | 0.9\% | 61 |
| 12 Textile articles | $76.8 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | 15 | $21.8 \%$ | 8 | 60 Electricity | - $0.4 \%$ | na | 60 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 13 Animalfeeds | $71.4 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 9 | 9.0\% | 26 | 61 Insurance | -0.5\% | na | 59 | $0.0 \%$ | 80 |
| 14 Other paper | 62.6\% | $31.0 \%$ | 13 | $15.7 \%$ | 13 | 62 Cement | - $0.6 \%$ | -0.4\% | 74 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 15 Wire and cable | 50.0\% | $33.3 \%$ | 10 | $14.2 \%$ | 14 | 63 Water | - $0.6 \%$ | na | 76 | $0.0 \%$ | 82 |
| 16 Other food | $40.6 \%$ | 20.8\% | 16 | $13.6 \%$ | 16 | 64 Fis $h$ | - $0.8 \%$ | -0.6\% | 65 | 0.5\% | 67 |
| 17 Lighting equipment | 39.1\% | 23.3\% | 17 | $12.4 \%$ | 18 | 65 Publis fing | -0.9\% | -0.6\% | 62 | $1.9 \%$ | 49 |
| 18 Confectionery | 37.5\% | 21.1\% | 22 | $13.7 \%$ | 15 | 66 Business activities | - $0.9 \%$ | na | 61 | 0.0\% | 73 |
| 19 Fruit | $35.4 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | 21 | $11.4 \%$ | 21 | 67 Other mining | - 1.1\% | - $0.7 \%$ | 72 | 0.0\% | 71 |
| 20 Other rubber | $35.0 \%$ | 20.4\% | 18 | 11.8\% | 20 | 68 Communications | - 1.1\% | na | 71 | 0.0\% | 79 |
| 21 Textiles | $32.9 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | 23 | $10.9 \%$ | 22 | 69 Trade | -1.2\% | na | 69 | $0.0 \%$ | 85 |
| 22 Plastic | 31.1\% | 19.3 \% | 25 | $13.0 \%$ | 17 | 70 Health and social work | - $1.3 \%$ | $n a$ | 73 | $0.0 \%$ | 76 |
| 23 Other textiles | 28.0\% | $17.9 \%$ | 27 | $11.9 \%$ | 19 | 71 Generalgovernment | - $1.3 \%$ | na | 63 | $0.0 \%$ | 78 |
| 24 Containers of paper | $26.3 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | 24 | $10.2 \%$ | 24 | $72 \mathcal{F e r t i l i z e r s}$ | - $1.3 \%$ | - $0.8 \%$ | 81 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 25 Paper | 22.7\% | 11.0\% | 20 | $7.2 \%$ | 28 | 73 gold | - 1.4 \% | -1.1\% | 68 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 26 Glass | 20.1\% | 11.1\% | 31 | 8.9\% | 27 | 74 Other transport | - $1.5 \%$ | - $1.1 \%$ | 66 | $0.2 \%$ | 69 |
| 27 Beverages \& ${ }^{\text {cobacco }}$ | $19.3 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | 19 | 9.7\% | 25 | 75 Grain mills | - $1.6 \%$ | - $1.0 \%$ | 78 | 0.7\% | 63 |
| 28 Other non-metallic | $19.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | 28 | 6.0\% | 33 | 76 Treated metals | - 1.7 \% | -1.0\% | 70 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 29 House hold appliances | $14.9 \%$ | 8.7\% | 36 | $6.7 \%$ | 30 | 77 Activities/services | - $1.7 \%$ | na | 67 | $0.0 \%$ | 75 |
| 30 Primary plastics | $14.9 \%$ | 8.3\% | 26 | $4.8 \%$ | 38 | 78 Agricultural machine ry | -1.7\% | -1.3\% | 75 | $0.8 \%$ | 62 |
| 31 Oils | $14.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | 29 | $4.9 \%$ | 36 | 79 Engines | - $1.7 \%$ | - $1.1 \%$ | 64 | 0.5\% | 65 |
| 32 Structuralceramics | 14.1\% | 9.2\% | 32 | $6.2 \%$ | 32 | 80 Coal | - $1.9 \%$ | -1.2\% | 82 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 33 Fabricated metal | $12.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 33 | $5.4 \%$ | 34 | 81的airy | - $2.0 \%$ | -1.2\% | 77 | 1.0\% | 57 |
| 34 Non-structuralceramics | $12.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | 35 | 5.0\% | 35 | 82Sugar | - $2.1 \%$ | -1.3\% | 83 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 35 Generalfardware | 12.1\% | $7.8 \%$ | 34 | $6.3 \%$ | 31 | 83 Transport services | -2.1\% | na | 80 | 0.0\% | 83 |
| 36 Structuralmetal | $10.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | 40 | $4.9 \%$ | 37 | 84 Hotels | - $2.4 \%$ | na | 92 | 0.0\% | 86 |
| 37 Iron and steel | $10.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 37 | $3.4 \%$ | 44 | 85 Specialmachinery | - $2.9 \%$ | - $2.0 \%$ | 79 | $0.3 \%$ | 68 |
| 38 Paints | 7.3\% | $3.6 \%$ | 41 | 4.1\% | 43 | 86 Pharmaceuticals | -3.1\% | - $1.7 \%$ | 88 | 0.1\% | 70 |
| 39 Electricity apparatus | $6.8 \%$ | 5.1\% | 39 | $4.6 \%$ | 40 | 87 Other chemicals | . $3.3 \%$ | - $2.0 \%$ | 85 | 1.2 \% | 54 |
| 40 Wood | 5.7\% | $3.6 \%$ | 43 | 3.1\% | 45 | 88 Mining macfinery | - $3.8 \%$ | - $2.6 \%$ | 86 | 0.9\% | 59 |
| 41 Other manufacturing | 5.1\% | $4.4 \%$ | 30 | $4.8 \%$ | 39 | 89 Office machine ry | . $4.3 \%$ | -2.1\% | 91 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 42 Electric motors | 4.7\% | $3.4 \%$ | 44 | $4.5 \%$ | 41 | 90 Other construction. | - $4.3 \%$ | na | 90 | $0.0 \%$ | 77 |
| 43 Non-ferrous metals | $4.5 \%$ | 3.1\% | 38 | $2.5 \%$ | 47 | 910 pticalinstruments | - $4.4 \%$ | - $2.9 \%$ | 87 | $0.6 \%$ | 64 |
| 44 Accumulators | $3.9 \%$ | 2.5\% | 42 | 4.1\% | 42 | 92 Recorded media | - $6.6 \%$ | - $4.5 \%$ | 94 | $0.0 \%$ | 72 |
| 45 Radio and tele vision | $3.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | 48 | $2.7 \%$ | 46 | 93 Food mackinery | - 7.4 \% | . $5.0 \%$ | 89 | 0.0\% | 87 |
| 46 Leather | $3.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | 45 | $6.9 \%$ | 29 | 94 Buildings | . $7.5 \%$ | na | 93 | $0.0 \%$ | 81 |
| 47 ge wellery | 3.1\% | $1.9 \%$ | 47 | 0.9\% | 60 | 95 Meat | $.378 .3 \%$ | $221.8 \%$ | 95 | $10.5 \%$ | 23 |
| 48 Gears | 2.1\% | 1.2\% | 49 | 1.8\% | 50 | Ave rage on traded goods | 12.0\% | 7.6\% |  | 7.3\% |  |

Source: $\mathcal{D T}$ I and Customs \& Excise and own calculations, note: analysis only applies to ad-valorem tariff, for $S$ IC codes see Stats SA (2000)

Several observations can be made. In the first place, row 95 shows that the meat sector's effective rate of protection is the lowest when calculated with the Balassa method, while it is the fighest in case the Corden method is applied. The reason is that according to $\mathcal{S}$ tats $\mathcal{S A} \mathcal{A} S u p p l y$ - $\mathcal{S l s e} \mathcal{T}$ able, value added as a proportion of total output in this activity is about $5 \%$, while the economy-wide average is about $50 \%$, which causes any change in intermediate inputs due to tariffs abolition to produce exaggerated change in value added, which may swing from positive to negative territories.

In the second place it can be seen that the effective rate according to the Balassa method is indeed considerably higher than the effective rate according to the Corden method. If we ignore the wild swing in the effective rate of protection of the meat sector (see row 95) the correlation coefficient is $91 \%$ on the traded goods, while the rank correlation betwe en the two measures is $99 \%$. The correlation between the Balassa measure and the nominal rates of protection is $68 \%$ and the rank correlation is $88 \%$, while the correlation between the Corden measure and the nominal rates of protection is $67 \%$ and the rank correlation $95 \%$ respectively. This suggests that the nominal rate of protection is a reasonable indicator of the effective rate of protection.
$\mathcal{A}$ the top of the table it can be seen that relatively high effective rates of protection are found in the textiles, leather, footwear, clothing, motor vehicles and parts, food processing and to some degree the chemicals and rubber production activities. Towards the middle of the table, we arrive in negative effective rate territories. Activities that currently receive no protection on the ir output, such as the non-traded goods producers and traded activities, such as cement (row 62) and fertiliser (row 72), sugar (row 82) and office equipment (row 89) are subject to negative real protection. However, also activities with a lowlevel of output protection such as electrical equipment (row 56), agriculture (row 57) and grain milfing (row 75), other chemicals (row 87) and optical equipment (row 91) fave a negative effective rate of protection, because the weighted input tariffs on the ir inputs amount to more than the ir output tariff.

In the next table we show results of the same methodologies but now applied to the collection rates. In the last row it can be seen that the nominal collection rate is only just over $2 \%$ compared to an average schedules ad-valorem equivalent of more than $7 \%$. The effective rates of protection on traded goods are therefore also much lower at $7 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ for the $\mathcal{B a l a s s}$ a and Corden method respectively. By comparing the ratio effective and nominal rates of protection of the tariff schedule with that of the collection rates it can be noted that they are figher than for the latter, which suggests that there is relatively more effective protection when considering the collection rates. The correlations between the effective rates and the nominalcollection rates are again, relatively figh at $64 \%$ and $89 \%$ for the respectively Balassa and Corden method respectively, while the rank correlations are $92 \%$ and $95 \%$ respectively. This suggests again that the nominal rate of protection based on collection rates is a reasonable indicator for the effective rate of protection based on collection rates.

Table 19: Nominal and effective rates of protection for 2000 based on the collection rates

| S U- tables description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balassa's } \\ \mathcal{E R P} P^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Corden's ERP $P$ | rank | $\mathcal{N}$ (R $P$ | rank | S U- tables description |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corden's } \\ \operatorname{ERR} P \end{gathered}$ | rank | $\mathcal{N}$ (R $P$ | rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Meat | $386.8 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | 1 | $5.9 \%$ | 25 | 49 Pumps | 1.1\% | $0.8 \%$ | 49 | $1.5 \%$ | 49 |
| 2 Handbags | 259.3 \% | $64.0 \%$ | 2 | 26.3\% | 1 | 50 Motor veficle parts | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 52 | $1.6 \%$ | 48 |
| 3 Carpets | 224.7 \% | $63.5 \%$ | 3 | $23.6 \%$ | 3 | 51 Lifting equipment | $0.8 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | 51 | $1.0 \%$ | 54 |
| 4 Bakeries | $105.7 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | 4 | 23.3\% | 4 | 52 Electricalequipment | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 50 | $1.8 \%$ | 45 |
| 5 We aring apparel | 85.2\% | 45.2\% | 8 | $24.6 \%$ | 2 | 53 Pesticides | 0.4\% | $0.2 \%$ | 54 | 1.0\% | 56 |
| 6 Animalfeeds | 76.1\% | $35.7 \%$ | 5 | 8.7\% | 19 | 54 Basic chemicals | $0.3 \%$ | 0.1\% | 55 | 0.5\% | 62 |
| 7 Textile articles | $68.7 \%$ | 33.4\% | 12 | $18.6 \%$ | 5 | 55 General machinery | $0.2 \%$ | 0.2\% | 56 | 1.1\% | 51 |
| 8 Other paper | 59.1\% | 29.6\% | 6 | $14.3 \%$ | 7 | 56 Pe trole um | 0.2 \% | $0.1 \%$ | 53 | $0.1 \%$ | 70 |
| 9 Soap | $53.9 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | 7 | 14.2 \% | 8 | $57 \mathcal{F S I M}$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 57 | $0.0 \%$ | 74 |
| 10 Footwe ar | $52.3 \%$ | 32.7\% | 10 | $17.7 \%$ | 6 | 58 Publis fing | - $0.2 \%$ | - $0.2 \%$ | 58 | 1.7\% | 47 |
| 11 Khitting mills | $43.4 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | 16 | 13.5\% | 9 | 59 Realestate | - $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 86 | $0.0 \%$ | 84 |
| 12 Lighting equipment | $40.2 \%$ | 23.8\% | 11 | $11.9 \%$ | 10 | 60 Electricity | - $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 62 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 13 Tyres | $40.1 \%$ | 20.1\% | 13 | 11.4 \% | 12 | 61 Insurance | - $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 60 | $0.0 \%$ | 80 |
| 14 Wire and cable | $35.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 9 | $10.4 \%$ | 15 | 62 Fis $\sqrt{1}$ | - $0.4 \%$ | -0.3\% | 61 | 0.5\% | 63 |
| 15 Other food | $33.3 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | 14 | 11.4 \% | 13 | 63 Generalgovernment | -0.5\% | $0.0 \%$ | 59 | $0.0 \%$ | 78 |
| 16 Confectionery | $31.3 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | 18 | 11.8\% | 11 | 64 Water | - $0.5 \%$ | 0.0\% | 80 | $0.0 \%$ | 82 |
| 17 Furniture | $30.0 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | 22 | 9.2\% | 17 | 65 Cement | - $0.5 \%$ | -0.3\% | 79 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 18 Containers of paper | 28.1\% | $16.7 \%$ | 19 | $9.5 \%$ | 16 | 66 Grain mills | - $0.7 \%$ | -0.4\% | 71 | $0.6 \%$ | 61 |
| 19 Other rubber | $26.3 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | 15 | 9.0\% | 18 | 67 Other mining | - $0.7 \%$ | - $0.4 \%$ | 68 | $0.0 \%$ | 72 |
| 20 Plastic | $26.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | 23 | 10.7\% | 14 | 68 Agriculture | -0.7\% | -0.6\% | 78 | $0.8 \%$ | 57 |
| $21 \mathcal{F}_{\text {ruit }}$ | 24.8 \% | $12.9 \%$ | 20 | $8.5 \%$ | 20 | 69 Business activities | -0.7\% | $0.0 \%$ | 63 | $0.0 \%$ | 73 |
| 22 Textiles | $19.4 \%$ | 11.0 \% | 27 | $6.9 \%$ | 23 | 70 Dairy | - $0.7 \%$ | -0.5\% | 66 | 1.0\% | 53 |
| 23 Other non-metallic | $18.2 \%$ | 9.8\% | 24 | 5.6\% | 27 | 71 Communications | - $0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 69 | $0.0 \%$ | 79 |
| 24 Motor veficles | $18.1 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | 26 | $4.9 \%$ | 30 | 72 Mac fine-tools | - $0.7 \%$ | - $0.5 \%$ | 64 | $0.4 \%$ | 64 |
| 25 Othertextiles | $17.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | 30 | $7.8 \%$ | 21 | 73 Transport services | - $0.8 \%$ | 0.0\% | 74 | $0.0 \%$ | 83 |
| 26 Paper | $16.7 \%$ | 8.4\% | 17 | 5.5\% | 28 | $74 \mathfrak{A c t i v i t i e s / s e r v i c e s ~}$ | - $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 65 | $0.0 \%$ | 75 |
| 27 Glass | $16.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | 28 | $7.5 \%$ | 22 | 75 Health and social work. | - $0.9 \%$ | 0.0\% | 76 | $0.0 \%$ | 76 |
| 28 Structuralceramics | 13.1\% | 8.5\% | 32 | 5.7\% | 26 | 76 Trade | - $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 75 | $0.0 \%$ | 85 |
| 29 Beverages \& tobacco | 11.4 \% | $7.8 \%$ | 21 | $6.4 \%$ | 24 | 77 Agricultural machinery | -1.0\% | - $0.7 \%$ | 70 | 0.7\% | 58 |
| 30 Oils | 11.2 \% | 5.4\% | 29 | $3.8 \%$ | 37 | 78 Fertilizers | - $1.0 \%$ | -0.6\% | 84 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 31 Non-structuralceramics | 11.0\% | 5.7\% | 35 | 4.4\% | 32 | 79 Gold | - $1.1 \%$ | -0.8\% | 72 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 32 Fabricated metal | $10.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | 33 | 4.4\% | 33 | 80 Coal | -1.1\% | - $0.7 \%$ | 81 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 33 Generalfardware | $10.1 \%$ | 6.5\% | 34 | $5.2 \%$ | 29 | 810 ther transport | - $1.2 \%$ | - $0.9 \%$ | 67 | $0.2 \%$ | 68 |
| 34 House hold appliances | $9.9 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | 37 | $4.8 \%$ | 31 | 82 Treated metals | -1.2\% | -0.7\% | 73 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 35 Primary plastics | 8.3\% | 4.7\% | 31 | $2.8 \%$ | 42 | 83 Sugar | -1.4\% | -0.9\% | 85 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 36 Iron and steel | 7.1\% | $3.6 \%$ | 38 | $2.5 \%$ | 44 | 84 Hotels | - $1.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 93 | $0.0 \%$ | 86 |
| 37 Electricity apparatus | 6.7\% | 5.0\% | 36 | 4.0\% | 35 | 85 Engines | -1.9\% | -1.2\% | 77 | $0.3 \%$ | 65 |
| 38 Paints | $6.3 \%$ | 3.1\% | 39 | $3.3 \%$ | 39 | 86 Other chemicals | - $2.0 \%$ | -1.2\% | 83 | 1.1\% | 52 |
| 39 Structural metal | $5.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | 40 | $2.9 \%$ | 41 | 87 Special machinery | - $2.2 \%$ | -1.5\% | 82 | $0.2 \%$ | 67 |
| 40 Other manufacturing | 5.0\% | $4.3 \%$ | 25 | 4.4 \% | 34 | 88 Pfarmaceuticals | - 2.4 \% | - 1.4 \% | 87 | $0.2 \%$ | 69 |
| 41 Wood | $4.9 \%$ | 3.1\% | 42 | $2.6 \%$ | 43 | 89 Mining mackine ry | - $3.0 \%$ | -2.1\% | 88 | 0.7\% | 60 |
| 42 Electric motors | $4.2 \%$ | 3.1\% | 43 | $3.5 \%$ | 38 | 90 Other construction. | -3.4\% | 0.0\% | 91 | $0.0 \%$ | 77 |
| 43 ge welfery | $3.4 \%$ | 2.1\% | 45 | $0.7 \%$ | 59 | 910 ffice machinery | . $3.4 \%$ | - $1.7 \%$ | 92 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 44 Accumulators | $3.3 \%$ | 2.1\% | 41 | $3.2 \%$ | 40 | 92 Optical instruments | - 3.9 \% | -2.6\% | 89 | $0.3 \%$ | 66 |
| 45 Gears | 2.1\% | 1.2\% | 46 | $1.5 \%$ | 50 | 93 Recorded media | . $5.5 \%$ | -3.7\% | 95 | $0.0 \%$ | 71 |
| 46 Leather | 1.8 \% | 0.8\% | 47 | 4.0\% | 36 | 94 Food machinery | . $5.7 \%$ | . $3.8 \%$ | 90 | $0.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 47 Radio and tele vision | $1.7 \%$ | 1.1\% | 48 | 1.8 \% | 46 | 95 Buildings | -6.1\% | 0.0\% | 94 | $0.0 \%$ | 81 |
| 48 Non-ferrous metals | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | 44 | 1.0\% | 55 | Average | 7.0\% | 4.5\% |  | 2.1\% |  |

Source: $\mathcal{D T} I$ and Customs éExcise and own calculations, note: analysis only applies to ad-valorem tariff, for $S$ IC codes see Stats SA (2000)

While the ranking of activities is more or less the same as in the case of the effective rates based on the tariff schedule, the notable absentee from the top is motor vehicles, basically, because the nominal tariff based on collection rates is, with $10 \%$ (see row 24) much lower than the scheduled weighted average tariff of $36 \%$. The correlation coefficients between the effective rates of protection based on the collection rates and the tariff schedule is $87 \%$ and $84 \%$ for the Balassa and Corden method, while the rank correlation is $97 \%$ and $96 \%$ respectively, which suggests that the effective rates of protection based on the collection rates are a good indicator of the effective rates of protection based on the tariff schedule. The ultimate question, however, is whether the actual collection rates are correlated in any way with the effective rates of protection based on the tariff schedule. The correlation coefficients are however, much lower at about $54 \%$ and $60 \%$ for the $\mathcal{B a l a s s a}$ and Corden method respectively, although they reach $74 \%$ and $82 \%$ respectively if we ignore the meat processing activity as an outlier. $\mathcal{T h}$ is means that if one is aware of outliers, such as the meat processing activity, the actual import duty collection rates, at least at this levelof activity aggregation, gives a reasonably accurate picture of effective rates of protection based on the tariff schedule.
9) Conclusions and Recommendations

It is clear from our analysis that a rather arbitrary framework for tariff analysis has been chosen, many other permutations of the data could offer other angles on the tariff structure in South $\mathcal{A f r i c a}$, such as tariff escalation. Moreover, the analys is has not dealt with rebates, bindings, free trade agreements and other bilateral trade arrangements between South Africa and its trading partners.
$\mathcal{A}$ cursory comparison with earlier analysis suggests that tariffs fave declined over the period 1997-2001, nota6ly for manufacturing. However, further tariff liberalisation has been slow in last couple of years. Tariff peaks still exist for a number of broad categories of commodities such as processed foods ( $\mathcal{H S}$ 0-2), ve ficles and components thereof ( $\mathcal{H S}$ 87), tobacco products ( $\mathcal{H S} 24$ ), rubber products ( $\mathcal{H S} 40$ ) and clothing and textiles ( $\mathcal{H S} 6$ ). About $25 \%$ of the $\mathcal{H S} 8$ commodity lines are faced with non ad-valorem tariffs, although the value of imports involved is not more than 4\% of total import in 2000. An attempt is made to convert non ad-valorem tariffs in order to checkfor tariff peaks. The highest ad-valorem equivalents are recorded for processed food, in various stages, and textiles. Finally, duty collection rates, which cangive an indication of the efficiency of duty collection are lowest for mineralfuels, motor veficles and components thereof. Relatively high effective rates of protection are found in the textiles, leather, footwear, clothing, motor veficles and parts, food processing and to some degree the chemicals and rubber production activities.

The tariff schedule changes on a biwe kly basis. Combined with the monthly releases of trade date it makes sense to consider creating a system that takes both data sources and combines them into a single analytical platform from which various analytical reports can be drawn on a regular basis. Such a system should be able to generate at least some if not all of the tables presented above and many more, after all they are generated in rather mechanical way. Finally, having more than 200 different tariffs may still pose an administrative burden and it makes sense to further simplify the tariff schedule from that point of view.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathcal{A n}$ earlier version of this paper was presented at a workshop for the TiPS Trade Policy Revie $w$

[^1]:    Source: DT I

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ refers to foot we ar, 24 stands for 2 units or a pair.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ It should be noted, however, that the structural information on an activities input structure, available from the Ulse component of the Supply - Ulse Tables, is still based on the 1993 manufacturing census, although a partial updating has been achieved for lower levelcontroltotals using the 1996 manufacturing census.

