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## INTRODUCTION

Almost immediately after the first democratic election, South African policy makers embraced the policy of trade liberalisation (see Holden 2000). To many observers, the offer made by South Africa to the World Trade Organisation (WTO) has been very generous. Various attempts to evaluate the impact of trade liberalisation on the South African economy have been undertaken since (see, for example, IDC 1997, Valodia 1998, and Lewis 2001). These attempts have either been of a general equilibrium nature or of a very micro firm level nature.

In this paper, we consider the partial equilibrium effects of trade liberalisation on selected South African sectors and clusters of commodities following Greenaway \& Milner (1993). This methodology is somewhere in between the general equilibrium analysis and the firm level analysis, in that it can be conducted right the whole range of imported merchandise but also at a fine level of commodity detail (HS8).

We first present some basic theory on the welfare gains and losses of tariff changes, after which we attempt to operationalise the welfare gains and losses of changes in import tariffs. We conclude by presenting some indicative results based on (relatively old) IDC data for imports and associated tariffs. We also apply the methodology to more recent tariffs, which allows us to evaluate welfare gains and losses of tariff changes at a more detailed level of clusters of commodities.

## THEORETICAL OVERVIEW

The application of a tariff on imported goods has a number of welfare implications on: consumers; government; international producers; the world; and domestic producers. The welfare impact depends on: how big the home country is; how responsive foreign export suppliers (reflected in the import demand curve) are; and how responsive domestic consumers are to changes in the price of the commodity.

Let us consider some illustrative examples to facilitate our intuitive understanding.
Case 1: US import tariff on cars
In the first case, we take a look at the imposition of a tariff by a large country, which by virtue of its size can influence the world price of a product. A hypothetical example is presented in Figure 1, which depicts the US's import demand and the rest of the world's export supply curve for cars. From the diagram, it is evident that the supply curve of cars to the US is very inelastic, i.e. steep. This is partly because the US is such a large purchaser of foreign cars (a monopsonistic buyer) that a reduction in US imports will cause a decline in international prices.

However, other factors such as technology also play a part. With free trade, the world price is $P_{w}$ and $M^{\prime}$ cars are imported. If a tariff of $P_{0} P^{\prime}$ is imposed, domestic prices will rise and consumption (and therefore imports) will decline. In response to the declining imports, foreign prices fall to $P^{\prime}$. Thus domestic prices do not rise the full tariff of $P_{0} P^{\prime}$, but only a fraction $P_{0} P_{w}$. Foreign car producers suffer a reduction in price to $P^{\prime}$. Therefore, they are partly 'paying' for the imposition of the tariff by means of reduced price.

The following welfare changes occur:

1. US consumer welfare falls by $(a+b)$.

- Initially, consumers purchased $M^{\prime}$ at a price of $P_{w}$. Now they import less, $M_{0}$, at a higher price of $P_{0}$. They are thus paying $a$ (which reflects the money value of $\left.\left(P_{0}-P_{w}\right) * M_{0}\right)$ more for the $M_{0}$ cars they used to import at old world price of $P_{w}$.
- Consumers also lose $b$, which reflects the negative impact on the satisfaction they used to experience when purchasing $M_{0} M^{\prime}$ more cars at the lower price $P_{w}$. This "dead weight loss" can be valued at ( $P_{0}-$ $\left.P_{w}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}-M_{0}\right) / 2$. If production output increases this area also includes the loss in resources used to produce additional cars at prices above that of the world price.

2. Foreign producer welfare falls by $(c+d)$, which reflects a decline in operating surplus.

- They lose $c$ revenue because instead of receiving $P_{w}$ for the first $M_{0}$-cars they exported to the US, they now only receive $P^{\prime}$ for each car, the total value of which is $\left(P_{w}-P^{\prime}\right) * M_{0}$.
- They also lose revenue $d$, which reflects the operating revenue they received from selling the extra $M_{0} M^{\prime}$ cars at the original price $P_{w}$. This can be valued at $\left(P_{w}-P^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}-M_{0}\right) / 2 .{ }^{1}$

3. The US government gains $(a+c)$ in the form of tariff revenue. $M_{0}$ cars are imported and a tariff of $P_{0} P^{\prime}$ is imposed on each.
4. World gains $=(a+c)-(a+b)-(c+d)=-(b+d)$. The world loses $b+d)$ as $M^{\prime} M_{0}$ fewer cars are produced that used to bring welfare gains to both producers and consumers. Thus what may be good for the US is not good for the world.
5. Not shown here is the gain to local producers. Local producers gain as they are now able to raise their price to $P_{0}$ as well as sell more cars. This gain is, however, exactly offset by the loss in welfare by US consumers from having to pay a higher domestic price. In order to determine the size of this welfare transfer from consumers to local producers we would need to establish local demand and supply curves.

The net gain to the US is $(a+c)-(a+b)=(c-b)$. If $c>b$ then the US gains. They gain in this case because, by imposing the tariff, they force foreign car producers to reduce their prices in order to offset potential losses in export sales. The tariff thus lowers the terms of trade for foreigners and enables the US to import cars, albeit fewer, at a lower world price. Clearly, the government may have to utilise the revenue they gain in order to compensate the consumers if they are a strong lobby group. Also note that if foreign producers use tariffs to counter the imposition of tariffs in the US, then the latter may lose as well. Further losses may arise if companies use resources in order to lobby government to impose these strategic tariffs. The net gains are at best ambiguous.

[^0]Figure 1: Gains and losses of a tariff increase with upward sloping import supply curve (large country)


Case 2: South African import tariff on cars
In the second case, we look at the example of South Africa, which is a small importer of international cars. If a tariff is imposed, the world price will not change because a decline in imports from South Africa is insignificant compared to total world sales of cars. The elasticity of export supply is thus infinite. As shown in Figure 2, a tariff of $\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ raises the price faced by domestic consumers and reduces total imports from M' to $\mathrm{M}_{0}$. South Africa consumers lose $(a+b)$ and government gains $a$ in revenue, resulting in a net loss of $b$.

Figure 2: Gains and losses of a tariff increase with upward sloping import supply curve (small country)


## OPERATIONALISATION OF THE THEORY

As has shown in the two examples above, much of the welfare gains or losses arising from the imposition of a tariff depend on the elasticity of export supply. The more inelastic the export supply is, the greater the potential gains. In this section, we continue with an exposition of the application of the above theory to South African circumstances. For expositional purposes, we turn the situation around and consider the elimination of tariffs.

Suppose we have the following set-up for a given import: A downward sloping import demand curve $M_{D}$ and, for reasons of convenience, an upward sloping import supply curve $M_{S}$. As South Africa imports less than $1 \%$ of total world exports, it is unlikely to face upward sloping foreign export supply curves. Nevertheless, we use the upward sloping export supply curve as our starting point in order to create a generic template, in which we can change demand and supply elasticities to any level.

Figure 3: Gains and losses of a tariff reduction with upward sloping import supply curve (large country)


Looking at Figure 3, it can be seen that demand and supply of imports are in equilibrium at price $P_{0}$ and quantity $M_{0}$. The equilibrium is reached given a tariff $t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=P_{w}(1+t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the tariff is eliminated, imports will increase to $M^{\prime}$ at a new world price $P$ '. As explained in the examples above, the new world price $P^{\prime}$ only differs from $P_{w}$ if we have a positively sloped import supply curve (referred to as foreign export supply curve above). If we make the small country assumption, the import supply curve flattens out, the supply elasticity approaches infinity and $P^{\prime}$ will converge on $P_{w}$.

In order to determine the welfare losses and gains according to our earlier discussion, we require as inputs to our calculations an initial value for the current imports, $M_{0}$, the tariff $t$, and some estimates for the import demand and import supply elasticities, $\dot{\circ}$ and $\circ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ respectively. Elasticities, which tell us the responsiveness of demand and supply to price changes, can be easily converted into the slopes of the demand and supply curves according to the following relationships consistent with the notation of Fgure 3 above.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{s}=\frac{\Delta \mathcal{M}_{s} / \mathcal{M}_{s}}{\Delta \mathcal{T} / P_{w}}=\frac{\Delta \mathcal{M}_{s}}{\Delta \mathcal{P}} \frac{P_{w}}{\mathcal{M}_{s}}=\beta \frac{P_{w}}{\mathcal{M}_{s}} \Leftrightarrow \beta=\varepsilon_{s} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}}{P_{w}}=\varepsilon_{s} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{o}}{P_{w}} \tag{2a}
\end{align*}
$$

á and $\hat{a}$ are thus the slopes of the import demand and supply functions respectively. Given the co-ordinates of Figure 3, the slopes of the import demand and supply functions can be approximated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\frac{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathscr{M}_{o}}{\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathscr{P}_{o}} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathcal{M}_{0}=\alpha\left(\mathscr{P}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}_{o}\right)=\alpha\left[\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathscr{P}_{w}(1+t)\right]  \tag{3a}\\
& \beta=\frac{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathscr{M}_{0}}{\mathscr{P}^{\prime}-P_{w}} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathcal{M}_{0}=\beta\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathscr{P}_{w}\right) \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now solve for the new equilibrium price $P^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left[\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}_{w}(1+t)\right]=\beta\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}_{w}\right) \Leftrightarrow(\alpha-\beta) \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\alpha \mathcal{P}_{w}(1+t)-\beta \mathcal{P}_{w} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we scale output such that $P_{w}=1$, this reduces further to

$$
(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{\beta}-[\alpha(1+t)] \Leftrightarrow p^{\prime}=\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\alpha} t}{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Leftrightarrow p^{\prime}=1-\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} t}{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}
$$

It can now be seen that if $\beta$ approaches infinity, i.e., if the elasticity of import supply is very high as would be expected for a small economy like South Africa, $P^{\prime}$ approaches the $P_{w}$. Substituting the results of equation (4) into equation (3a) returns the equilibrium quantity of imports $M^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}^{\prime}=\mathscr{M}_{0}-\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}-\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \alpha \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilising equations (1) - (5) we can now express areas $a$ to $d$ in terms of our known variables $P_{0}, M 0, t, \stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$.

From Figure 3 area a can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}-\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \mathscr{M}_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (4) for $P^{\prime}$ we can write and simplify
$a=\left[\mathscr{P}_{o}-\left(1-\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} t}{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}=\left[(1+t)-1+\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} t}{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}=\left[\frac{\beta t}{\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}=\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{S} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{0}}{\mathcal{P}_{w}} t}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{S} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{0}}{\mathcal{P}_{w}}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{0}}{\mathcal{P}_{w}(1+t)}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}=\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{S} t}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{S}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathscr{D}}}{(1+t)}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}$
Note that in the last step we substitute $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ out and let $P_{w}=1$. Moreover, in terms of known variables, we only know $t, M_{0}$ and the elasticities. We cannot see $P_{0}$, but by assuming $P_{w}=1$ we solve this problem. We have essentially re-scaled imports such that each unit is exactly equal to R1 at world prices. From Figure 3 area $b$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(P_{0}-P^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathcal{M}_{0}\right) / 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (5) for $M^{\prime}$ we can write

$$
6=-\left(P_{0}-\mathscr{P}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \alpha / 2
$$

In calculating $a$ we showed that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{0}-\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon_{S} t}{\varepsilon_{S}-\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} /(1+t)}
$$

Letting $P_{w}=1$ and substituting $P_{0}-P^{\prime}$ and equation (2a), $b$ can be written as

$$
\sigma=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mathscr{D}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{o}}{(1+t)}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{S} t}{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathscr{D}} /(1+t)}\right]^{2}
$$

From the above equation we can see that the dead weight loss $b$ to consumers is proportional to the square of the tariff rate. The losses arising from the imposition of a tariff, thus increase at an exponential rate.

Turning now to $c$, this area in Figure 3 can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}_{w}\right) \mathscr{M}_{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By again letting $P_{w}=1$ and substituting equation (4) for $P$ ' we can write:

$$
c=-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathscr{D}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{o}}{P_{w}(1+t)} t}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{s} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{o}}{\mathcal{P}_{w}}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{0}}{\mathcal{P}_{w}(1+t)}} \mathscr{M}_{o}=-\left[\frac{\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathscr{D}} t}{(1+t)}}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{S}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{D}}}{(1+t)}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}
$$

It can now be seen that if the elasticity of import supply approaches infinity, $c$ is reduced to zero and the change in the producer's welfare due to lower world market prices becomes negligible. Finally, $d$ in Figure 3 can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}_{w}\right)\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}-\mathcal{M}_{0}\right) / 2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (5) this becomes

$$
d=-\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}-\mathscr{P}_{w}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}-\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \alpha / 2
$$

Substituting $P^{\prime}-P_{w}$ and $P_{0-} P^{\prime}$ derived when calculating $a$ and $c$

$$
d=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{s} t}{\varepsilon_{s}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{D}}{(1+t)}}\right]\left[\frac{\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{D^{t}}}{(1+t)}}{\varepsilon_{s}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\eta}}{(1+t)}}\right] \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{D} \mathscr{M}_{0}}{(1+t)}
$$

which reduces to

$$
d=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\frac{\varepsilon_{S} \varepsilon_{D}^{2} t^{2}}{(1+t)^{2}}}{\left(\varepsilon_{S}-\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}}{(1+t)}\right)^{2}}\right] \mathscr{M}_{0}
$$

As mentioned above, welfare loss of foreign producers becomes negligible if the supply elasticity ${ }_{9}^{\circ}$ are very large.

## APPLICATION TO SOUTH AFRICAN DATA

Equations (2) - (9) can be set up in a spreadsheet in such a way that elasticities can be changed to any level and the gains and losses of tariff reduction are determined instantly. We have applied the above methodologies to a number of data sources, which will be discussed together with the results below.

Table 1: Imports ( $\mathbf{R}$ million '96 pr), tariffs ('96 schedule) and net change in welfare (small country assumption, import demand elasticity: $1.56, \mathrm{R}$ million '96 pr)

|  | SIC | Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { million '96) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (\mathrm{R} \text { Tariff } \\ & \text { schedule) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3^{3} \\ \text { (1996 } \\ \text { Net } \quad \text { A } \\ \text { welfare } \\ \text { million } \\ \mathrm{a}_{n}=1.56 \end{gathered}$ | in Net $\ddot{\mathrm{A}}$ in R welfare/ '96, Imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3843/0 | Motor vehicles | 24,392.51 | 39.7\% | 2,145.92 | 8.8\% |
| 2 | 3,220 | Wearing apparel exc footwear | 3,995.83 | 67.3\% | 842.70 | 21.1\% |
| 3 | 3,240 | Footwear | 2,453.65 | 38.7\% | 206.87 | 8.4\% |
| 4 | 3,211 | Spinning, wool,weaving \& finishing of fabrics | 3,264.82 | 32.3\% | 200.85 | 6.2\% |
| 5 | 3,213 | Knitting mills | 2,351.32 | 33.4\% | 152.91 | 6.5\% |
| 6 | 3,111 | Slaughtering, preparing \& preserving meat | 9,576.59 | 15.4\% | 152.80 | 1.6\% |
| 7 | 3,118 | Sugar factories \& refineries | 2,139.76 | 35.0\% | 151.40 | 7.1\% |
| 8 | 3,212 | Made-up textile goods, exc wearing apparel | 1,396.36 | 40.6\% | 127.71 | 9.1\% |
| 9 | 3,112 | Dairy products | 2,134.48 | 30.6\% | 119.04 | 5.6\% |
| 10 | 3,117 | Bakery products | 2,880.78 | 25.8\% | 119.00 | 4.1\% |
| 11 | 3,131 | Distilleries \& wineries | 2,243.33 | 29.3\% | 116.20 | 5.2\% |
| 12 | 3,140 | Tobacco products | 1,732.81 | 32.0\% | 104.81 | 6.0\% |
| 13 | 3,523 | Soap, cosmetics \& toilet preparations | 3,780.66 | 19.1\% | 89.91 | 2.4\% |
| 14 | 3,320 | Furniture | 2,589.87 | 22.1\% | 80.89 | 3.1\% |
| 15 | 1,100 | Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 11,747.13 | 8.8\% | 64.38 | 0.5\% |
| 16 | 3,233 | Leather products \& leather substitutes | 926.68 | 28.3\% | 45.18 | 4.9\% |
| 17 | 3,113 | Canning \& preserving of fruit \& vegetables | 2,851.55 | 14.6\% | 41.22 | 1.4\% |
| 18 | 353/4 | Other basic chemicals, petroleum \& coal | 7,362.00 | 8.6\% | 39.38 | 0.5\% |
| 19 | 2,100 | Mining | 52,086.58 | 3.0\% | 34.12 | 0.1\% |
| 20 | 3,819 | Other fabricated metals, exc machinery | 3,801.67 | 7.8\% | 16.66 | 0.4\% |
| 21 | 3,560 | Other plastic products | 1,157.20 | 14.2\% | 15.94 | 1.4\% |
| 22 | 3,551 | Tyres \& tubes | 933.51 | 14.4\% | 13.22 | 1.4\% |
| 23 | 3,214 | Carpets \& rugs, mats \& matting | 302.28 | 26.6\% | 13.17 | 4.4\% |
| 24 | 3,833 | Electrical appliances \& housewares | 625.66 | 17.7\% | 12.95 | 2.1\% |
| 25 | 3,122 | Prepared animal feeds | 1,158.66 | 11.7\% | 11.04 | 1.0\% |
| 26 | 3,119 | Cocoa, chocolate \& sugar confectionery | 1,254.85 | 11.2\% | 10.97 | 0.9\% |
| 27 | 3,811 | Cutlery, hand tools \& general hardware | 1,348.94 | 10.6\% | 10.63 | 0.8\% |
| 28 | 3,829 | Other machinery \& equipment, exc electrical | 14,698.62 | 2.8\% | 8.93 | 0.1\% |
| 29 | 3,419 | Other pulp, paper \& paperboard | 1,184.70 | 10.2\% | 8.77 | 0.7\% |
| 30 | 3,121 | Other food products | 2,172.62 | 7.0\% | 7.83 | 0.4\% |
| 31 | 3,710 | Iron \& steel basic industries | 12,325.15 | 2.7\% | 6.58 | 0.1\% |
| 32 | 3,813 | Structural metal products | 1,858.83 | 6.4\% | 5.60 | 0.3\% |
| 33 | 3,812 | Furniture \& fixtures primarily of metal | 347.06 | 15.2\% | 5.42 | 1.6\% |
| 34 | 3,691 | Bricks, tiles, refractories, etc | 495.40 | 12.4\% | 5.30 | 1.1\% |
| 35 | 3,411 | Pulp, paper \& paperboard | 2,533.88 | 5.2\% | 5.08 | 0.2\% |
| 36 | 3,215 | Cordage, rope \& twine industries | 178.35 | 20.8\% | 4.96 | 2.8\% |
| 37 | 3,610 | Pottery, china \& earthenware | 181.87 | 20.4\% | 4.88 | 2.7\% |
| 38 | 3,115 | Vegetable \& animal oils \& fats | 2,306.52 | 5.2\% | 4.64 | 0.2\% |
| 39 | 3,620 | Glass \& glass products | 1,007.28 | 7.5\% | 4.11 | 0.4\% |
| 40 | 3,831 | Electrical industrial machinery | 3,717.98 | 3.6\% | 3.69 | 0.1\% |
| 41 | 3851/4/5/9 | Other transport | 5,624.45 | 2.9\% | 3.64 | 0.1\% |
| 42 | 3,134 | Soft drinks \& carbonated waters industries | 2,105.64 | 4.8\% | 3.57 | 0.2\% |
| 43 | 3,720 | Non-ferrous metal basic industries | 3,610.21 | 3.6\% | 3.56 | 0.1\% |
| 44 | 3,521 | Paints, varnishes \& lacquers | 607.77 | 9.0\% | 3.49 | 0.6\% |
| 45 | 3,219 | Textiles, not elsewhere classified | 251.71 | 13.3\% | 3.04 | 1.2\% |
| 46 | 3,420 | Printing \& publishing | 5,152.95 | 2.5\% | 2.49 | 0.0\% |
| 47 | 3,699 | Other non-metallic mineral products | 673.06 | 6.6\% | 2.13 | 0.3\% |
| 48 | 3,116 | Grain mill products | 3,734.02 | 2.7\% | 2.08 | 0.1\% |
| 49 | 3,832 | Radio, television \& communication equipment | 7,286.17 | 1.7\% | 1.60 | 0.0\% |
| 50 | 3,513 | Synthetic resins \& plastic materials | 1,249.58 | 4.1\% | 1.55 | 0.1\% |
| 51 | 3,310 | Wood \& wood products, exc furniture | 1,388.82 | 3.8\% | 1.48 | 0.1\% |
| 52 | 3,511 | Industrial chemicals | 9,562.88 | 1.2\% | 1.03 | 0.0\% |
| 53 | 3,529 | Other chemical products | 3,423.81 | 1.9\% | 0.96 | 0.0\% |
| 54 | 3,839 | Other electrical apparatus \& supplies | 1,834.94 | 2.5\% | 0.87 | 0.0\% |
| 55 | 386,390,239,033,909 | Other manufacturing industries | 6,594.55 | 1.2\% | 0.73 | 0.0\% |
| 56 | 3,821 | Engines \& turbines | 1,008.65 | 2.6\% | 0.53 | 0.1\% |
| 57 | 3,114 | Canning, preserving \& processing of fish | 2,136.57 | 1.6\% | 0.43 | 0.0\% |
| 58 | 3,133 | Malt liquors \& malt | 3,564.82 | 0.9\% | 0.23 | 0.0\% |
| 59 | 3,901 | Jewellery and related articles | 1,428.13 | 1.2\% | 0.15 | 0.0\% |
| 60 | 3,512 | Fertilizers \& pesticides | 1,211.44 | 1.0\% | 0.09 | 0.0\% |
| 61 | 3,824 | Special industrial machinery \& equipment | 7,157.83 | 0.2\% | 0.02 | 0.0\% |
| 62 | 3,822 | Agricultural machinery \& equipment | 381.33 | 0.6\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% |
| 63 | 3,522 | Medicinal \& pharmaceutical preparations | 2,676.69 | 0.2\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% |
| 64 | 3,692 | Cement | 29.17 | 0.8\% | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 65 | 3,823 | Metal \& woodworking machinery | 2,879.40 | 0.1\% | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 66 | 3,825 | Office, computing \& accounting machinery | 5,184.07 | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 0.0\% |

[^1]
## Using IDC data for 1996

We start by employing data published by the IDC (Kuhn and Jansen 1997), which is shown in columns $1-2$ of Table 1 . The results of equation (6), i.e., the net welfare changes (area $c-b$ in Figure 3) for the small country assumption ( $(6)$ very large) are shown in column 3. These results are based on an import price elasticity (a) of 1.56 for all sectors following Gumede (2000). In order to place the welfare changes in a better context, we divide them by the imports of the relevant sector.

It can be seen that motor vehicles, textile, clothing, footwear and food processing sectors offer the largest net gains to the consumer in South Africa. Note that the consumer welfare changes are net of the losses in government revenue, assuming that this would have been redistributed to consumers one way or another.

It should be realised that all import demand elasticities are set to equal to the value -1.56 , i.e., across all sectors. Changing the elasticities uniformly across these sectors will not change the ranking of the sectors. The challenge is therefore to find estimates of sector specific import demand function. Gumede (2000) has also made an attempt to estimate import price elasticities for a limited number of sectors. Most of the results ©uld not be used since either the signs of the estimated elasticity are in the wrong direction, i.e., suggesting that the import demand goes up with an increase in the price, or no estimates were recorded. The following results were considered to be useable.

Table 2: Estimated import price elasticities used in the welfare gains and losses calculations

|  |  | Estimated <br> import <br> elasticity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sector | -0.3010 |
| 1. | chemicals | -0.2125 |
| 2. | machinery | -0.1130 |
| 3. | elect. machinery |  |
| 4. | manufacturing | -0.3640 |
| S |  |  |

Source: Gumede 2000

It can be seen that the estimated import price elasticities are much lower than the -1.56 used above. As a result, the welfare effects will be only be about a quarter to a fifth of what is estimated in Table 1 above. This can be seen in the next table.

Table 3: Imports ( $\mathbf{R}$ million '96 pr), tariffs ('96 schedule) and net change in welfare (small country assumption, sector specific import demand elasticities, R million '96 pr)


Source: Kuhn and Jansen 1996, and own calculations

Because the variation in the estimated elasticities shown in Table 2 is relatively small, the ranking of Table 3 is not much different compared to Table 1.

## Using Customs and Excise data for 1998 with recent IDC data

## Using Customs \& Excise data for 2000 with July 2000 tariff data

We conclude this section with an application of the same methodology now using ad valorem tariff data for July 2000 (excluding non-ad valorem tariffs, which only apply to about $4 \%$ of total imports), available from DTI, and 2000 import data. In doing so, we hope to pick up some trends now that we can add a second observation to 1996.

Table 4: South Africa Merchandise Imports (2000) and import weighted tariffs, ranked
according to tariff ( R million, current prices)

|  | Imports | Tariff |  |  | Imports | Tariff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 HS24: Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes. | 23 | 42.9\% | 50 | HS06: Live trees and other plants; bulbs, roots and t | 37 | 4.2\% |
| 2 HS98: Special classifications provisions | 15,008 | 35.0\% | 51 | HS53: Other vegetable textile fibres; paper yarn and w | 56 | 4.1\% |
| 3 HS87: Vehicles (excluding railway or tramway rolling-s | 10,566 | 31.5\% | 52 | HS89: Ships, boats and floating structures. | 107 | 4.0\% |
| 4 HS62: Items of app and clothing acc, not knitted or cr | 37 | 31.1\% | 53 | HS12: Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits; miscellaneous g | 222 | 3.7\% |
| 5 HS57: Carpets and other textile floor coverings. | 144 | 30.0\% | 54 | HS37: Photographic or cinematographic goods. | 612 | 3.5\% |
| 6 HS64: Footwear, gaiters and the like; parts of such it | 666 | 28.1\% | 55 | HS85: Electrical machinery and equipment and parts the | 23,600 | 3.5\% |
| 7 HS42: Items of leather; saddlery and harness; travel g | 358 | 28.1\% | 56 | HS44: Wood and items of wood; wood charcoal | 1,015 | 3.2\% |
| 8 HS66: Umbrellas, sun umbrellas, walking-sticks, seat s | 29 | 27.3\% | 57 | HS11: Products of the milling industry; malt; starches | 191 | 3.0\% |
| 9 HS65: Headgear and parts thereof | 93 | 26.1\% | 58 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | 773 | 2.9\% |
| 10 HS61: Items of app and clothing acc, knitted or croche | 41 | 25.0\% | 59 | HS74: Copper and items thereof | 330 | 2.8\% |
| 11 HS19: Preparations of cereals, flour, starch, or milk; | 146 | 24.3\% | 60 | HS72: Iron and steel. | 1,721 | 2.7\% |
| 12 HS63: Other made up textile items; sets; worn clothing | 140 | 22.8\% | 61 | HS32: Tanning or dyeing extracts, tannins and their de | 1,321 | 2.7\% |
| 13 HS 16: Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, | 18 | 22.7\% | 62 | HS03: Fish and crustaceans, molluscs and other aquatic | 10 | 2.1\% |
| 14 HS60: Knitted or crocheted fabrics. | 129 | 20.2\% | 63 | HS49: Printed books, newspapers, pictures and other pr | 998 | 1.9\% |
| 15 HS93: Arms and ammunition; parts and acc thereof. | 0 | 20.0\% | 64 | HS95: Toys, games and sports requisites; parts and acc | 1,293 | 1.4\% |
| 16 HS46: Manufactures of straw, of esparto or of other pl | 30 | 17.5\% | 65 | HS55: Man-made staple fibres. | 391 | 1.3\% |
| 17 HS94: Furniture; bedding, mattresses, mattress support | 1,019 | 17.4\% | 66 | HS25: Salt, sulphur, earths and stone, plastering mate | 610 | 1.3\% |
| 18 HS02: Meat and edible meat offal | 596 | 17.1\% | 67 | HS36: Explosives; pyrotechnic products; matches; pyrop | 110 | 1.2\% |
| 19 HS22: Beverages, spirits and vinegar. | 96 | 16.5\% | 68 | HS09: Coffee, tea, mate and spices | 290 | 1.0\% |
| 20 HS17: Sugars and sugar confectionery | 117 | 16.2\% | 69 | HS29: Organic chemicals. | 4,321 | 1.0\% |
| 21 HS67: Prepared feathers and down and items made of fea | 40 | 15.5\% | 70 | HS71: Natural or cultured pearls, precious or semi-pre | 3,551 | 0.9\% |
| 22 HS45: Cork and items of cork | 455 | 15.1\% | 71 | HS38: Miscellaneous chemical products. | 3,120 | 0.9\% |
| 23 HS34: Soap, organic surface-active agents, washing pre | 489 | 14.6\% | 72 | HS28: Inorganic chemicals; organic or inorganic compou | 3,841 | 0.9\% |
| 24 HS40: Rubber and items thereof. | 2,305 | 14.1\% | 73 | HS84: Nuclear reactors, boilers, machinery and mechani | 29,124 | 0.8\% |
| 25 HS83: Miscellaneous items of base metal. | 537 | 13.6\% | 74 | HS90: Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measurin | 6,516 | 0.5\% |
| 26 HS56: Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarns; twin | 217 | 13.4\% | 75 | HS51: Wool, fine or coarse animal hair; horsehair yarn | 114 | 0.2\% |
| 27 HS54: Man-made filaments | 502 | 13.0\% | 76 | HS30: Pharmaceutical products. | 4,293 | 0.1\% |
| 28 HS58: Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics; 1 | 150 | 12.3\% | 77 | HS 10: Cereals | 1,165 | 0.0\% |
| 29 HS59: Impregnated, coated, covered or laminated textil | 470 | 12.2\% | 78 | HS35: Albuminoidal substances; modified starches; glue | 448 | 0.0\% |
| 30 HS20: Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other | 125 | 12.1\% | 79 | HS27: Mineral fuels, oils and products of their distil | 25,685 | 0.0\% |
| 31 HS96: Miscellaneous manufactured items. | 501 | 11.9\% | 80 | HS01: Live animals | 44 | 0.0\% |
| 32 HS33: Essential oils and resinoids; perfumery, cosmeti | 959 | 10.3\% | 81 | HS05: Products of animal origin, not elsewhere specifi | 159 | 0.0\% |
| 33 HS07: Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers | 185 | 10.3\% | 82 | HS14: Vegetable plaiting materials; vegetable products | 32 | 0.0\% |
| 34 HS52: Cotton | 12 | 9.8\% | 83 | HS26: Ores, slag and ash. | 226 | 0.0\% |
| 35 HS48: Paper and paperboard; items of paper pulp, of $p$ | 2,437 | 9.2\% | 84 | HS31: Fertilizers. | 858 | 0.0\% |
| 36 HS70: Glass and glassware. | 672 | 8.2\% | 85 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | 164 | 0.0\% |
| 37 HS18: Cocoa and cocoa preparations | 212 | 7.6\% | 86 | HS47: Pulp of wood or of other fibrous cellulosic mate | 275 | 0.0\% |
| 38 HS39: Plastics and items thereof. | 5,109 | 7.2\% | 87 | HS50: Silk | 24 | 0.0\% |
| 39 HS76: Aluminium and items thereof | 699 | 7.1\% | 88 | HS75: Nickel and items thereof | 762 | 0.0\% |
| 40 HS23: Residues and waste from the food industries; pre | 839 | 6.4\% | 89 | HS78: Lead and items thereof. | 45 | 0.0\% |
| 41 HS69: Ceramic products. | 1,553 | 6.1\% | 90 | HS79: Zinc and items thereof. | 111 | 0.0\% |
| 42 HS68: Items of stone, plaster, cement, asbestos, mica | 448 | 6.0\% | 91 | HS80: Tin and items thereof. | 84 | 0.0\% |
| 43 HS04: Dairy produce; birds' eggs; natural honey; edibl | 14 | 5.8\% | 92 | HS81: Other base metals; cermets: items thereof. | 145 | 0.0\% |
| 44 HS13: Lac; gums, resins and other vegetable saps and e | 119 | 5.7\% | 93 | HS86: Railway or tramway locomotives, rolling-stock an | 103 | 0.0\% |
| 45 HS82: Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of | 1,095 | 5.7\% | 94 | HS88: Aircraft, spacecraft and parts thereof. | 4,748 | 0.0\% |
| 46 HS73: Items of iron or steel. | 2,026 | 5.6\% | 95 | HS91: Clocks and watches and parts thereof. | 335 | 0.0\% |
| 47 HS41: Raw hides and skins (excluding furskins) and lea | 728 | 4.9\% | 96 | HS92: Musical instruments; parts and acc of such items | 57 | 0.0\% |
| 48 HS08: Edible fruit and nuts; peel of citrus fruit or | 147 | 4.9\% | 97 | HS97: Works of art, collectors' pieces and antiques. | 220 | 0.0\% |
| 49 HS43: Furskins and artificial fur; manufactures there | 4 | 4.7\% | 98 |  |  |  |

## Source: Customs and Excise and DTI

In Table 5 it can be seen that the highest import duties are recorded for tobacco and original equipment components (HS2: 98). Tariffs on clothing have dropped from levels higher than $55 \%$ to below $30 \%$. Motor vehicle tariffs on the other hand have risen from $20 \%$ to $31 \%$,
which is probably the result of the import weighting procedure, with imports switching to the higher tariff lines in the group. The HS2 commodity groups of large value with relatively high tariffs are unclassified, motor vehicles and rubber products and, to a lesser degree, furniture (see row 17).

This is confirmed in Table 6 where it is shown that the HS2 commodity groups with the largest values receive relatively low protection, except the groups mentioned above. These include, amongst others, machinery, electrical machinery and fuels, and also some chemicals groups and special equipment.

Table 5: South Africa Merchandise Imports (2000) and import weighted tariffs, ranked according to value of imports ( $R$ million, current prices)

|  |  | Imports | Tariff |  |  | Imports | Tariff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HS84: Nuclear reactors, boilers, machinery and mechani | 29,124 | 0.8\% | 50 | HS09: Coffee, tea, mate and sp | 290 | 1.0\% |
| 2 | HS27: Mineral fuels, oils and products of their distil | 25,685 | 0.0\% | 51 | HS47: Pulp of wood or of other fibrous cellulosic mate | 275 | 0.0\% |
|  | HS85: Electrical machinery and equipment and parts the | 23,600 | 3.5\% | 52 | HS26: Ores, slag and ash. | 226 | 0.0\% |
| 4 | HS98: Special classifications provisions | 15,008 | 35.0\% | 53 | HS12: Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits; miscellaneous g | 222 | 3.7\% |
|  | HS87: Vehicles (excluding railway or tramway rolling-s | 10,566 | 31.5\% |  | HS97: Works of art, collectors' pieces and antiques. | 220 | 0.0\% |
| 6 | HS90: Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measurin | 6,516 | 0.5\% | 55 | HS56: Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarns; twin | 217 | 13.4\% |
| 7 | HS39: Plastics and items thereof. | 5,109 | 7.2\% |  | HS 18: Cocoa and cocoa preparations | 212 | 7.6\% |
| 8 | HS88: Aircraft, spacecraft and parts thereof. | 4,748 | 0.0\% |  | HS11: Products of the milling industry; malt; starches | 191 | 3.0\% |
| 9 | HS29: Organic chemicals. | 4,321 | 1.0\% |  | HS07: Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers | 185 | 10.3\% |
| 10 | HS30: Pharmaceutical products. | 4,293 | 0.1\% | 59 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | 164 | 0.0\% |
|  | HS28: Inorganic chemicals; organic or inorganic compou | 3,841 | 0.9\% |  | HS05: Products of animal origin, not elsewhere specifi | 159 | 0.0\% |
| 12 | HS71: Natural or cultured pearls, precious or semi-pre | 3,551 | 0.9\% | 61 | HS58: Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics; 1 | 150 | 12.3\% |
| 13 | HS38: Miscellaneous chemical products. | 3,120 | 0.9\% | 62 | HS08: Edible fruit and nuts; peel of citrus fruit or | 147 | 4.9\% |
|  | HS48: Paper and paperboard; items of paper pulp, of p | 2,437 | 9.2\% |  | HS19: Preparations of cereals, flour, starch, or milk; | 146 | 24.3\% |
| 15 | HS40: Rubber and items thereof. | 2,305 | 14.1\% |  | HS81: Other base metals; cermets: items thereof. | 145 | 0.0\% |
| 16 | HS73: Items of iron or steel. | 2,026 | 5.6\% | 65 | HS57: Carpets and other textile floor coverings. | 144 | 30.0\% |
| 17 | HS72: Iron and steel. | 1,721 | 2.7\% | 66 | HS63: Other made up textile items; sets; worn clothing | 140 | 22.8\% |
| 18 | HS69: Ceramic products. | 1,553 | 6.1\% | 67 | HS60: Knitted or crocheted fabrics. | 129 | 20.2\% |
| 19 | HS32: Tanning or dyeing extracts, tannins and their de | 1,321 | 2.7\% | 68 | HS20: Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other | 125 | 12.1\% |
| 20 | HS95: Toys, games and sports requisites; parts and acc | 1,293 | 1.4\% |  | HS13: Lac; gums, resins and other vegetable saps and e | 119 | 5.7\% |
| 21 | HS10: Cereals | 1,165 | 0.0\% | 70 | HS17: Sugars and sugar confectionery | 117 | 16.2\% |
| 22 | HS82: Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of | 1,095 | 5.7\% | 71 | HS51: Wool, fine or coarse animal hair, horsehair yarn | 114 | 0.2\% |
| 23 | HS94: Furniture; bedding, mattresses, mattress support | 1,019 | 17.4\% | 72 | HS79: Zinc and items thereof. | 111 | 0.0\% |
| 24 | HS44: Wood and items of wood; wood charcoal | 1,015 | 3.2\% | 73 | HS36: Explosives; pyrotechnic products; matches; pyrop | 110 | 1.2\% |
| 25 | HS49: Printed books, newspapers, pictures and other pr | 998 | 1.9\% | 74 | HS89: Ships, boats and floating structures. | 107 | 4.0\% |
| 26 | HS33: Essential oils and resinoids; perfumery, cosmeti | 959 | 10.3\% |  | HS86: Railway or tramway locomotives, rolling-stock an | 103 | 0.0\% |
| 27 | HS31: Fertilizers. | 858 | 0.0\% |  | HS22: Beverages, spirits and vinegar. | 96 | 16.5\% |
| 28 | HS23: Residues and waste from the food industries; pre | 839 | 6.4\% | 77 | HS65: Headgear and parts thereof | 93 | 26.1\% |
| 29 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | 773 | 2.9\% | 78 | HS80: Tin and items thereof. | 84 | 0.0\% |
| 30 | HS75: Nickel and items thereof | 762 | 0.0\% | 79 | HS92: Musical instruments; parts and acc of such items | 57 | 0.0\% |
| 31 | HS41: Raw hides and skins (excluding furskins) and lea | 728 | 4.9\% | 80 | HS53: Other vegetable textile fibres; paper yarn and w | 56 | 4.1\% |
| 32 | HS76: Aluminium and items thereof | 699 | 7.1\% |  | HS78: Lead and items thereof. | 45 | 0.0\% |
| 33 | HS70: Glass and glassware. | 672 | 8.2\% | 82 | HS01: Live animals | 44 | 0.0\% |
| 34 | HS64: Footwear, gaiters and the like; parts of such it | 666 | 28.1\% | 83 | HS61: Items of app and clothing acc, knitted or croche | 41 | 25.0\% |
| 35 | HS37: Photographic or cinematographic goods. | 612 | 3.5\% | 84 | HS67: Prepared feathers and down and items made of fea | 40 | 15.5\% |
| 36 | HS25: Salt, sulphur, earths and stone, plastering mate | 610 | 1.3\% | 85 | HS06: Live trees and other plants; bulbs, roots and t | 37 | 4.2\% |
| 37 | HS02: Meat and edible meat offal | 596 | 17.1\% | 86 | HS62: Items of app and clothing acc, not knitted or cr | 37 | 31.1\% |
| 38 | HS83: Miscellaneous items of base metal. | 537 | 13.6\% | 87 | HS14: Vegetable plaiting materials; vegetable products | 32 | 0.0\% |
| 39 | HS54: Man-made filaments | 502 | 13.0\% | 88 | HS46: Manufactures of straw, of esparto or of other pl | 30 | 17.5\% |
| 40 | HS96: Miscellaneous manufactured items. | 501 | 11.9\% | 89 | HS66: Umbrellas, sun umbrellas, walking-sticks, seat s | 29 | 27.3\% |
| 41 | HS34: Soap, organic surface-active agents, washing pre | 489 | 14.6\% | 90 | HS50: Silk | 24 | 0.0\% |
| 42 | HS59: Impregnated, coated, covered or laminated textil | 470 | 12.2\% | 91 | HS24: Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes. | 23 | 42.9\% |
| 43 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | 455 | 15.1\% | 92 | HS16: Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, | 18 | 22.7\% |
| 44 | HS35: Albuminoidal substances; modified starches; glue | 448 | 0.0\% | 93 | HS04: Dairy produce; birds' eggs; natural honey; edibl | 14 | 5.8\% |
|  | HS68: Items of stone, plaster, cement, asbestos, mica | 448 | 6.0\% | 94 | HS52: Cotton | 12 | 9.8\% |
| 46 | HS55: Man-made staple fibres. | 391 | 1.3\% | 95 | HS03: Fish and crustaceans, molluscs and other aquatic | 10 | 2.1\% |
| 47 | HS42: Items of leather; saddlery and harness; travel g | 358 | 28.1\% | 96 | HS43: Furskins and artificial fur; manufactures there | 4 | 4.7\% |
|  | HS91: Clocks and watches and parts thereof. | 335 | 0.0\% | 97 | HS93: Arms and ammunition; parts and acc thereof. | 0 | 20.0\% |
|  | HS74: Copper and items thereof | 330 | 2.8\% | 98 |  |  |  |

## Source: Customs and Excise, and IDC

As with the earlier results, we report on net welfare changes expressed in terms of R millions and as a proportion of total imports. On the left hand side of the next table we show results for the economy-wide import demand elasticity of 1.56 while on the right hand side we show the results for sector-specific import demand elasticities. As before, the small country assumption is chosen so that the export demand elasticity is very large.

Due to the small variation in the estimates of the import demand elasticities, the ranking of HS2 commodities is identical. In both cases unclassified commodities offer the largest consumer gains following the full elimination trade barriers followed by the HS2 group of complete motor vehicles. This includes mainly original equipment components for motor vehicles (HS8: 98010030-40).

Table 6: Net change in welfare (July 2000 tariff schedule, small country assumption, import demand elasticity: - $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ and sector specific import demand elasticities respectively, R million ' 00 pr )

|  | Based on economy-wide import demand elasticity of -1.56 | Net changes in welfare | As \% of imports |  | Based on sector specific import demand elasticities | Net changes in welfare | As \% of imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | HS98: Special classifications provisions | -1,061 | 15,008 | 1 | HS98: Special classifications provisions | -248 | -1.7\% |
| 2 | HS87: Vehicles (excluding railway or tramway rolling-s | -623 | 10,566 | 2 | HS87: Vehicles (excluding railway or tramway rolling-s | -145 | -1.4\% |
| 3 | HS64: Footwear, gaiters and the like; parts of such it | -32 | 666 | 3 | HS64: Footwear, gaiters and the like; parts of such it | -7 | -1.1\% |
| 4 | HS40: Rubber and items thereof. | -31 | 2,305 | 4 | HS40: Rubber and items thereof. | -7 | -0.3\% |
| 5 | HS85: Electrical machinery and equipment and parts the | -22 | 23,600 | 5 | HS85: Electrical machinery and equipment and parts the | -5 | 0.0\% |
| 6 | HS94: Furniture; bedding, mattresses, mattress support | -20 | 1,019 | 6 | HS94: Furniture; bedding, mattresses, mattress support | -5 | -0.5\% |
| 7 | HS39: Plastics and items thereof. | -19 | 5,109 | 7 | HS39: Plastics and items thereof. | - 4 | -0.1\% |
|  | HS42: Items of leather; saddlery and harness; travel g | -17 | 358 | 8 | HS42: Items of leather; saddlery and harness; travel g | 4 | -1.1\% |
| 9 | HS48: Paper and paperboard; items of paper pulp, of p | -15 | 2,437 | 9 | HS48: Paper and paperboard; items of paper pulp, of p | -3 | -0.1\% |
| 10 | HS02: Meat and edible meat offal | -12 | 596 | 10 | HS02: Meat and edible meat offal | -3 | -0.5\% |
| 11 | HS57: Carpets and other textile floor coverings. | -8 | 144 | 11 | HS57: Carpets and other textile floor coverings. | -2 | -1.3\% |
| 12 | HS33: Essential oils and resinoids; perfumery, cosmeti | -7 | 959 | 12 | HS33: Essential oils and resinoids; perfumery, cosmeti | -2 | -0.2\% |
| 13 | HS34: Soap, organic surface-active agents, washing pre | -7 | 489 | 13 | HS34: Soap, organic surface-active agents, washing pre | -2 | -0.3\% |
| 14 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | -7 | 455 | 14 | HS45: Cork and items of cork | -2 | -0.4\% |
| 15 | HS83: Miscellaneous items of base metal. | -7 | 537 | 15 | HS83: Miscellaneous items of base metal. | -2 | -0.3\% |
| 16 | HS54: Man-made filaments | -6 | 502 | 16 | HS54: Man -made filaments | -1 | -0.3\% |
| 17 | HS19: Preparations of cereals, flour, starch, or milk; | -5 | 146 | 17 | HS 19: Preparations of cereals, flour, starch, or milk; | -1 | -0.9\% |
| 18 | HS96: Miscellaneous manufactured items. | -5 | 501 | 18 | HS96: Miscellaneous manufactured items. | -1 | -0.2\% |
| 19 | HS59: Impregnated, coated, covered or laminated textil | -5 | 470 | 19 | HS59: Impregnated, coated, covered or laminated textil | -1 | -0.2\% |
| 20 | HS63: Other made up textile items; sets; worn clothing | -5 | 140 | 20 | HS63: Other made up textile items; sets; worn clothing | -1 | -0.8\% |
| 21 | HS73: Items of iron or steel. | -5 | 2,026 | 21 | HS73: Items of iron or steel. | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 22 | HS69: Ceramic products. | -4 | 1,553 | 22 | HS69: Ceramic products. | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 23 | HS65: Headgear and parts thereof | -4 | 93 | 23 | HS65: Headgear and parts thereof | -1 | -1.0\% |
| 24 | HS60: Knitted or crocheted fabrics. | -3 | 129 | 24 | HS60: Knitted or crocheted fabrics. | -1 | -0.6\% |
| 25 | HS70: Glass and glassware. | -3 | 672 | 25 | HS70: Glass and glassware. | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 26 | HS56: Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarns; twin | -3 | 217 | 26 | HS56: Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarns; twin | -1 | -0.3\% |
| 27 | HS82: Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of | -3 | 1,095 | 27 | HS82: Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 28 | HS76: Aluminium and items thereof | -3 | 699 | 28 | HS76: Aluminium and items thereof | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 29 | HS23: Residues and waste from the food industries; pre | -2 | 839 | 29 | HS23: Residues and waste from the food industries; pre | -1 | -0.1\% |
| 30 | HS24: Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes. | -2 | 23 | 30 | HS24: Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes. | -1 | -2.3\% |
| 31 | HS62: Items of app and clothing acc, not knitted or cr | -2 | 37 | 31 | HS62: Items of app and clothing acc, not knitted or cr | 0 | -1.3\% |
| 32 | HS17: Sugars and sugar confectionery | -2 | 117 | 32 | HS17: Sugars and sugar confectionery | 0 | -0.4\% |
| 33 | HS22: Beverages, spirits and vinegar. | -2 | 96 | 33 | HS22: Beverages, spirits and vinegar. | 0 | -0.4\% |
| 34 | HS61: Items of app and clothing acc, knitted or croche | -2 | 41 | 34 | HS61: Items of app and clothing acc, knitted or croche | 0 | -0.9\% |
| 35 | HS58: Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics; 1 | -2 | 150 | 35 | HS58: Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics; 1 | 0 | -0.2\% |
| 36 | HS84: Nuclear reactors, boilers, machinery and mechani | -1 | 29,124 | 36 | HS84: Nuclear reactors, boilers, machinery and mechani | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 37 | HS07: Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers | -1 | 185 | 37 | HS07: Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers | 0 | -0.2\% |
| 38 | HS66: Umbrellas, sun umbrellas, walking-sticks, seat s | -1 | 29 | 38 | HS66: Umbrellas, sun umbrellas, walking-sticks, seat s | 0 | -1.1\% |
| 39 | HS41: Raw hides and skins (excluding furskins) and lea | -1 | 728 | 39 | HS41: Raw hides and skins (excluding furskins) and lea | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 40 | HS20: Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other | -1 | 125 | 40 | HS20: Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other | 0 | -0.2\% |
| 41 | HS68: Items of stone, plaster, cement, asbestos, mica | -1 | 448 | 41 | HS68: Items of stone, plaster, cement, asbestos, mica | 0 | -0.1\% |
| 42 | HS72: Iron and steel. | -1 | 1,721 | 42 | HS72: Iron and steel. | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 43 | HS18: Cocoa and cocoa preparations | -1 | 212 | 43 | HS18: Cocoa and cocoa preparations | 0 | -0.1\% |
| 44 | HS44: Wood and items of wood; wood charcoal | -1 | 1,015 | 44 | HS44: Wood and items of wood; wood charcoal | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 45 | HS32: Tanning or dyeing extracts, tannins and their de | -1 | 1,321 | 45 | HS32: Tanning or dyeing extracts, tannins and their de | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 46 | HS67: Prepared feathers and down and items made of fea | -1 | 40 | 46 | HS67: Prepared feathers and down and items made of fea | 0 | -0.4\% |
| 47 | HS46: Manufactures of straw, of esparto or of other pl | -1 | 30 | 47 | HS46: Manufactures of straw, of esparto or of other pl | 0 | -0.5\% |
| 48 | HS16: Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, | -1 | 18 | 48 | HS16: Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, | 0 | -0.8\% |
| 49 | HS37: Photographic or cinematographic goods. | -1 | 612 | 49 | HS37: Photographic or cinematographic goods. | 0 | 0.0\% |

Source: Customs and Excise, and IDC

## CONCLUSIONS

What are the policy conclusions of these results? First of all, it is clear that from a static economic analysis perspective, there are considerable costs involved in maintaining tariffs in South Africa that take the form of forgone consumer surplus. These costs typically depend on:

- The value of the imports of the commodity, i.e., the higher the value, the higher the costs
- The level of the tariff of the commodity, i.e., the higher the tariff, the higher the costs. As shown in measurement of $b$, the losses rise exponentially with tariff increases.
- The level of the import price elasticity of the commodity, i.e., the higher the elasticity, the more sensitive the demand for imports to price changes following a reduction in the tariff and therefore the higher the costs while making the small country assumption in that the supply of imports is given and South Africa has no control over it.

Over the period 1996 - 2000, the total costs of tariff protection have come down considerably as can be seen in the next table. Interestingly, the trends has been somewhat reversed between 1998 and 2000. Several reasons may be advanced for this turn around. First, tariff data are not consistently drawn from the same source. Second, the HS2 level of commodity groups is a considerable aggregation and it may well be that within a particular group, imports have shifted from low tariff to high tariff, so that the import weighted average tariff for the group as a whole has risen. Third, certain tariffs on a narrow group such as tobacco have indeed increased. Finally, initial imports $\left(M_{0}\right)$ have increased in spite of high tariffs such as motor vehicles and original equipment components, which have risen from R15 billion to R25 billion between 1998 and 2000.

Table 7: Aggregate costs of tariff protection in South Africa (R million, current prices)

|  | ஷ̊ $=\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ | के $=$ <br> specific | sector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1996 | 5,049 | 1,164 |  |
| 2000 | 1,972 | 460 |  |

Source: own calculations
The highest costs of maintaining tariffs is counted for motor vehicles and original equipment components thereof. The main reason is the high value of the imports as well as the high level of the tariff. Further analysis is recommended with regard to detailed commodities that are currently "hidden" in the special classifications category (HS98). Although some clothing commodities face even higher tariffs, the welfare losses of maintaining the existing tariff are less. Over the period 1996 - 2000 welfare costs of protection on textile, clothing and footwear commodities has declined considerably and the same applies to some of the processed food commodities.

If this analysis is useful, and we would like to argue that it is, the final question is where to take it from here. Now that DTI is in the process of obtaining regular trade and tariff data from Customs and Excise. The first step would be to integrate the two sources into one with a common set of HS8 codes. A second step is to attempt an estimation of import demand and export supply elasticities at a more detailed level of commodity groups. Customs and Excise data are available on a monthly basis from 1988 onwards. Even if monthly data may be
volatile, panel estimation techniques could perhaps be employed on a quarterly or annual basis. In terms of the choice of explanatory variables, it may possible to follow some of the directions indicated by Gumede (2000).

## REFERENCES

Greenaway, D. and Milner, C. (1993) Trade and Industrial Policy in Developing Countries, A Manual of Policy Analysis. MacMillan: Basingstoke.

Gumede, V. (2000) Import Performance and Import Demand Functions for South Africa. TIPS Working Paper, No. 9.

Holden, M. (2000) Trade Policy in a Liberalising Economy. Unpublished.
IDC. The Impact on the South African Economy of Accelerated Trade Liberalisation in the Context of Currency Depreciation. Information Paper Series, No. 2.

Lewis, J. (2001) Reform and Opportunity: The Changing Role and Patterns of Trade in South Africa and SADC - A Synthesis of World Bank Research. World Bank: Africa Region Working Paper Series, No. 14.

Valodia, I. (1998) Trade Policy, Productivity and Learning: Evidence in South Africa. TIPS Working Paper.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The export supply curve reflects the cost of producing each extra unit of cars for the export market. The difference between the price the producer receives and the supply curve is thus a measure of the operating surplus gained from selling the cars.

[^1]:    Source: Kuhn and Jansen 1996, and own calculations

